

# GUIDELINES FOR USE OF LIVE AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES IN FIELD AND LABORATORY RESEARCH

Second Edition, Revised by the Herpetological Animal Care and Use Committee (HACC) of the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, 2004. (Committee Chair: Steven J. Beaupre, Members: Elliott R. Jacobson, Harvey B. Lillywhite, and Kelly Zamudio).

## Table of Contents:

- I. Introduction (2)
- II. General Considerations (3)
- III. Role of the IACUC (6)
- IV. Research with Amphibians and Reptiles (8)
  - 1. Collecting and Acquisition (8)
    - a. Habitat and Population Considerations (8)
    - b. Live Capture (trapping and other methods) (8)
    - c. Field Sheets, Record Keeping, and Photography (9)
    - d. Commercial Acquisition (9)
  - 2. Restraint, Handling and Anesthesia (9)
    - a. General Principles (Manual versus Chemical) (9)
    - b. Hazardous Species (12)
  - 3. Non-Invasive Procedures (13)
  - 4. Biological Samples (13)
    - a. Blood Sampling (13)
    - b. Tissue Sampling (16)
  - 5. Surgical Procedures (17)
    - a. General Principles (17)
    - b. Procedures (18)
  - 6. Animal Marking and Telemetry (18)
    - a. Passive Integrated Transponders (19)
    - b. Toe Clipping (19)
    - c. Scale Clipping/Branding (19)
    - d. Tattoos and Dye Markers (20)
    - e. Banding and Tagging (20)
    - f. Shell Marking (20)
    - g. Radioisotopes (20)
    - h. Radiotelemetry (21)
  - 7. Euthanasia (22)
  - 8. Museum Specimens (23)
- V. Housing and Maintenance (23)
  - 1. General Considerations (24)
  - 2. Short-Term Housing (24)
    - a. Transportation (25)
    - b. Temporary Field Site Housing (25)

- 3. Long-Term Housing (25)
  - a. Caging and Maintenance (25)
  - b. Thermal Requirements (26)
  - c. Lighting (26)
  - d. Air Changes and Humidity (26)
  - e. Food and Water (27)
  - f. Substrates (27)
  - g. Other Considerations (27)
- VI. Disposition of Ill or Dear Animals During Course of Study (28)
  - 1. Diagnosis (28)
  - 2. Treatment (28)
  - 3. Necropsy (28)
- VII. Disposition of Living Healthy Animals Following Study (29)
  - 1. Euthanasia (29)
    - a. Incineration (29)
    - b. Donation to Teaching or Museum Collections (29)
  - 2. Living Animals (29)
    - a. Transfer to Other Studies (30)
    - b. Adoption (30)
    - c. Repatriation into the Wild (30)
- VIII. Preparation and Revision of These Guidelines (31)
- IX. References (31)
- X. Appendix A: Additional Resources (41)

## **I. Introduction:**

Consistent with our long-standing interests in conservation, education, research and the general well-being of amphibians and reptiles, the ASIH, HL and SSAR support the following principles and guidelines for scientists conducting research on these animals. Successful care and husbandry of amphibians and reptiles depends on procedures different from accepted guidelines for birds and mammals commonly used in biomedical research, and the welfare of wild-caught animals often requires considerations different from those applicable to captive-bred or domesticated species. Thus, these guidelines are intended for use by researchers, educators, and resource managers from universities and colleges, zoological parks, research institutions, natural resource agencies, and consultants to private or public institutions and agencies.

Investigations involving amphibians and reptiles, their wild populations, and their habitats are of profound importance to advancement of basic scientific knowledge that is vital to the well-being of human societies as well as to the improved treatment and conservation of these vertebrates in the wild and in captivity. Although acquisition of basic scientific knowledge can

justify research with amphibians and reptiles, the use of these animals in scientific research can produce effects that cannot always be predicted. Many investigations may involve simple observations of animals, while others require some form of manipulation, either in the field or in captivity. Such studies can disrupt normal activities, induce stress, or otherwise lead to abnormal behaviors that possibly place individuals at greater risk due to increased susceptibility to predation, accidents, or disease. Thus, just as with other vertebrate groups, the use of amphibians and reptiles in research and teaching raises ethical questions that must be carefully considered prior to the initiation of a project.

The humane treatment of both captive and wild vertebrates is an ethical, legal, and scientific necessity. Traumatized or stressed animals may exhibit abnormal physiological, behavioral and ecological responses that defeat the purposes of the investigation (Raney and Lachner, 1947; Pritchard et al., 1982). Humane treatment of wild-captured animals requires minimal impairment of their abilities to resume normal activities when returned to the wild. Moreover, habitats that are essential for these activities should not be rendered unsuitable during the course of capture or study efforts. Any deviation from conditions that eliminate or minimize risks to animals requires justification to an Animal Care and Use Committee.

Growing concern for the well being and humane treatment of research animals has led several agencies and professional organizations to publish guidelines for the care and use of animals in the field and laboratory. However, due to the large range of diversity represented by the over 12,280 species of amphibians and reptiles, no concise or specific compendium of approved or required methods for field and laboratory research is practical or desirable. A blanket approach such as that applied to domesticated lines of research animals (e.g., laboratory rodents) would not work for animals as diverse as these, many of which have millions of years of independent evolution and consequently adaptations to unique environments or conditions. Rather, the guidelines presented below build on the most current information available for various groups of reptile and amphibians and are intended as a guide for the investigator (who will often be an authority on the biology of the species under study) - of the techniques that are known to be humane and effective. Ultimate responsibility for the ethical and scientific validity of an investigation, and the methods employed therein, must rest with the investigator. To those who adhere to the principles of careful research, these guidelines will simply be a formal statement of precautions already in place. We emphasize that because of the sometimes species-specific requirements of many reptiles and amphibians, the refinement of animal care and use guidelines for amphibians and reptiles will always be an evolving process.

## **II. General Considerations**

It is the responsibility of investigators to balance humane treatment and scientific discovery. Specifically, one must prioritize humane treatment while achieving valid scientific goals. Toward this end, each investigator should provide written assurance to Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees that field or laboratory research with amphibians and reptiles will meet the following criteria:

- a. Procedures should avoid or minimize distress to the animals, consistent with a conceptually sound research design.
- b. Procedures do not constitute unnecessary duplications of previous work.
- c. Procedures that may cause more than momentary or slight distress to the animals should be performed with appropriate sedation, analgesia, or anesthesia, except when justified for scientific reasons by the investigator.
- d. Animals that would otherwise experience severe or chronic stress or pain that cannot be relieved should be euthanized at the end of the procedure or, if appropriate, during the procedure.
- e. Methods of euthanasia will be consistent with recommendations of the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) Panel on Euthanasia (Smith et al., 1986), unless deviation is justified for scientific reasons by the investigator. However, the AVMA recommendations cannot be taken rigidly for ectothermic vertebrates; the methods suggested for endothermic birds or mammals are often not applicable to ectotherms, which have significant anaerobic capacities. Additional information on euthanasia of reptiles and amphibians can be found elsewhere (see Cooper et al., 1989; AVMA, 1993; McDiarmid, 1994; Chen and Combs, 1999).
- f. The living conditions of animals held in captivity either in the laboratory, at holding facilities, or at field sites should be appropriate for that species and contribute to their health and well being. The housing, feeding, and non-medical care of the animals will be directed by a scientist (generally the investigator) who is trained or experienced in the proper care, handling, and use of the species being maintained or studied. While recognizing that living requirements of amphibians and reptiles may differ dramatically from those conventionally assumed for laboratory mammals, the investigator should ensure that all animals are maintained in a state of cleanliness that promotes good health and a safe and stress-free environment. Feeding intervals, requirements for water, temperature, and humidity levels will vary greatly, and the departure of these parameters from mammalian norms should be carefully explained to IACUC members, attending veterinarians, or other personnel who might not be knowledgeable about the biology of amphibians and reptiles. Some experiments (e.g., competition studies) will require the housing of mixed species, often in the same enclosure. Mixed housing is also appropriate for holding or displaying certain species. Whereas considerable information is available for reptiles/amphibians in captivity in the laboratory, private, and zoological collections, little information is available for housing in the field. It is expected that the investigator working with a species will

have the expertise to construct enclosures suitable for the focal taxon. Enclosing areas where the species occurs naturally is one way to provide a semi-natural environment. Animals held or enclosed in the field should be monitored carefully for natural behaviors and that sufficient food resources are available, either naturally or through supplementation.

**Additional general considerations that should be incorporated into any research project using wild amphibians or reptiles include the following:**

- g. The investigator must have knowledge of all regulations pertaining to the animals under study, and must obtain all necessary federal, state, and local permits for the proposed studies. (See the following for applicable regulations: Estes and Sessions, 1984a; Estes and Sessions, 1984b; King and Schrock, 1985; Levell, 1997; Malaro, 1998; Tompkins, 1998; Simmons 2002; and web resources listed in Appendix A). Researchers working outside the United States should ensure they comply with all wildlife regulations of the country in which the research is being performed. Work with many species is regulated by the provisions of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES; see “CITES” references in Estes and Sessions, 1984a; Estes and Sessions, 1984b; Malaro, 1998; Tompkins 1998; and the CITES home page lister in Appendix A). Regulations affecting a single species may vary with country and with districts or regions.
- h. Individuals of endangered or threatened taxa should not be removed from the wild nor imported or exported, except in cases involving conservation efforts that are in full compliance with applicable regulations.
- i. Before initiating field research, investigators must be familiar with the target species and its response to disturbance, sensitivity to capture and restraint, and, if necessary, requirements for captive maintenance to the extent that these factors are known and applicable to a particular investigation. Special concern should be shown for species known to remain with nests or young during certain seasons. Removal from the wild of individuals of species known to tend nests should, as a general principle, be avoided during the nesting season, unless such removal is justified for scientific reasons.
- j. Every effort should be made prior to removal of animals (if any) to understand the population status (abundant, threatened, rare, etc.) of the taxa to be studied, and the numbers of animals removed from the wild must be kept to the minimum the investigator determines is necessary to accomplish the goals of the study. This statement should not be interpreted as proscribing study and/or collection of

uncommon species. Indeed, collection for scientific study can be crucial to understanding why a species is uncommonly observed.

- k. The numbers of specimens required for an investigation will vary greatly, depending on the nature of the questions explored. Certain investigations will require collection of relatively large numbers of specimens, though the actual percent of any population taken will generally be very small. Studies should use the fewest animals necessary to answer reliably the questions being posed. Use of adequate numbers to assure reliability and statistical power is essential, as inadequate studies will ultimately require repetition and can result in wasted animal use. When appropriate, numbers of animals should be justified by specific statistical design requirements, and formal power analysis.

Numerous publications exist that will assist investigators and animal care committees in implementing these general guidelines; a number of useful publications are listed in the literature cited section and in Appendix A.

### **III. Role of IACUC**

Recognizing that the function of the IACUC is to ensure humane use of reptiles and amphibians in laboratory and field research, rather than to curtail it, the IACUC should make every effort to work with Investigators such that their research missions are supported. The role of the IACUC in approving and monitoring laboratory use of reptiles and amphibians includes responsibilities for ensuring that laboratory facilities and housing support the health and well-being of study animals. Furthermore, periodic inspection of such facilities should be the norm, as it is for other traditional research models. Field resources for the care and use of wild vertebrates are very different from laboratory resources, and the role of the IACUC necessarily is limited to considerations that are practical for implementation at locations where field research is to be conducted. Prevailing conditions may prevent investigators from following these general guidelines to the letter at all times. However, the IACUC should expect that investigators will make every effort to follow the spirit of these guidelines. The omission from these guidelines of a specific research or husbandry technique should not be interpreted as proscription of the technique.

The IACUC must be aware that whereas vertebrates typically used in laboratory research represent a small number of species with well understood husbandry requirements, the classes Amphibia and Reptilia contain at least 12,280 distinct species with very diverse and often poorly known behavioral, physiological and ecological characteristics. This diversity, coupled with the diversity of laboratory and field research situations, requires that each project be judged on its own merits. Techniques that are useful and fitting for one taxon, experiment, or field situation may, in another context be counter-productive. Therefore, in most cases, it is impossible to generate specific guidelines for groups larger than a few closely related species. Indeed, the premature stipulation of specific guidelines would “severely inhibit humane care as well as

research" (Guidelines for Care and Use of Lower Vertebrates, 1986). The IACUC must note the frequent use of the word "should" throughout these guidelines, and be aware that this is in deliberate recognition of the diversity of animals and situations covered by the guidelines. Investigators, on the other hand, must be aware that the use of the word "should" denotes the ethical obligation to follow these guidelines whenever realistically possible.

Laboratory studies are generally conducted under relatively controlled circumstances with the purpose of testing specific hypotheses within the framework of a broader scientific investigation. Under such circumstances, the IACUC should reasonably assume that investigators can provide defensible estimates of the numbers of animals required for a study, and outline in detail the conditions under which animals will be housed and manipulated. As practicing herpetologists, we recognize the importance of reptiles and amphibians as model systems for teaching basic biological principles in the classroom laboratory. We also note the social and conservation benefits of public outreach using captive amphibians and reptiles. Therefore, the IACUC should be prepared to approve the humane use of reptiles and amphibians for educational purposes, including both routine use in University teaching laboratories, and maintenance of captive animals for the purposes of general public education. As in laboratory research, the use of reptiles and amphibians in teaching laboratories should be described in sufficient detail to justify numbers and procedures. Of particular importance is the Instructor's assurance that procedures using animals in teaching laboratories yield real pedagogical benefits that cannot be obtained by alternative means (e.g., computer simulations). Collections of animals maintained for educational purposes will vary, but they will be usually field-collected and will likely vary in species composition. The IACUC should be willing and prepared to accept "blanket" protocol applications that involve the short- to long-term captivity of several taxonomically diverse species for the purposes of public outreach as well as exploratory research.

Field investigations very commonly involve studies of interactions among many related or sympatric species, of which a large proportion may be poorly known. There is sound scientific merit in exploratory work, and ample reason for investigators to propose studies of a rather general nature, where opportunity and the flexibility to pursue unanticipated observations may become crucial to the success of the undertaking. New species continue to be discovered in this fashion, and the discovery of novel attributes of known species is to be expected as a consequence of the investigation. The IACUC should recognize that the acquisition of such new knowledge constitutes a major justification for any investigation, and that a corollary of this approach is that protocols may list a large number of individual species, or may refer to taxa above the species level.

When laboratory or field studies on wild vertebrates are to be reviewed, the IACUC must include personnel who can provide an understanding of the nature and impact of the proposed investigation, the housing of the species to be studied, and knowledge concerning the risks associated with maintaining certain species of wild vertebrates in captivity. Each IACUC should therefore include at least one institution-appointed member who is experienced in zoological field investigations. Such personnel may be appointed to the committee on an ad hoc basis to provide

necessary expertise. When sufficient personnel with the necessary expertise in this area are not available within an institution, this ad hoc representative may be a qualified member from another institution. Alternatively, when the IACUC lacks the expertise to evaluate and approve specific procedures there are several potential remedies including, but not limited to, educational demonstrations by the principle investigator and external review by expert. We note however, that external review can be time consuming and should not cost legitimate field researchers opportunities to conduct seasonally-sensitive research. The IACUC should be sensitive to the importance of timing in field research with amphibians and reptiles and make every effort to approve legitimate protocol applications.

Field research on native amphibians and reptiles requires permits from state and/or federal wildlife agencies. These agencies review applications for their scientific merit and their potential impact on native populations, and issue permits that authorize the taking of specified numbers of individuals, the taxa and methods allowed, the period of study, and often other restrictions which are designed to minimize the likelihood that an investigation will have deleterious effects on natural populations. Legal permission to conduct field research rests with these agencies, and the IACUC should seek to avoid infringement on their authority to control the use of wildlife species. Conversely, the IACUC may reasonably require evidence of proper permits from relevant agencies.

#### **IV. Research with Amphibians and Reptiles**

##### **1. Collecting and Acquisition**

Laboratory and field research with amphibians and reptiles frequently involves capture of specimens, whether for preservation, data recording, marking, temporary or long-term confinement, or relocation. We treat each of the general research activities for field and laboratory separately.

##### **a. Habitat and Population Considerations**

Whether collecting for permanent laboratory use, future release, or museum preparation, each investigator should observe, and require of students and co-workers, a strict ethic of habitat conservation. In general, collecting should always be conducted so as to leave habitat as undisturbed as possible, especially for species dependent on highly specialized habitat or for which essential details of life history and population biology are poorly known. Permanent removal of large numbers of animals from any breeding or hibernation aggregation should be avoided unless justified in writing for scientific reasons by the investigator. The judgment of what constitutes “large numbers” is subjective, but may be informed by some knowledge of population size. Similarly, destructive sampling of large numbers of gravid females from any population should be avoided unless justified for scientific reasons. When permanent, destructive human alteration of habitat is imminent (construction, water impoundment, etc.), removal of entire populations may be justified. Systematists should investigate extant collections for suitable specimens before conducting fieldwork. However, under some circumstances (e.g., field studies of competition), permanent removal of animals may be part of a valid experimental design, and should be allowed with proper justification.



### **b. Live capture, (trapping and other methods)**

Live Capture. - Investigators should be familiar with herpetological capture techniques (Dunham et al., 1988; Heyer et al., 1994; Brown, 1997; Simmons, 2002) and should choose a method suited to both the species and the study. Live-capture techniques should prevent or minimize damage to the animal. In addition, live-capture techniques for venomous or otherwise hazardous species should be carefully chosen so as to minimize risk to animals and researchers.

Trapping. - Traps of various kinds are often necessary to obtain unbiased samples of secretive, nocturnal or infrequently active species (Corn, 1994). The interval between visits to traps should be as short as possible, although it may vary with species, weather, objectives of the study, and the type of trap. Traps should be checked daily when weather conditions threaten survival of trapped animals. Investigators must make every effort to prevent trap deaths from exposure, drowning, cardiogenic shock, or capture myopathy (Young, 1975). Traps should be sheltered from environmental extremes and care should be taken to reduce predation in pitfall traps (Gibbons and Semlitsch, 1981). Pitfall traps set during extremely dry or wet periods should be equipped so as to prevent desiccation and/or drowning of captured reptiles and amphibians (Corn, 1994). Traps should be tightly covered between sampling periods and removed at conclusion of a study.

### **c. Field sheets, record keeping, and photography**

Whenever an animal is handled and samples are collected, all information that relates to the animal and sample should be thoroughly described and entered into either a field notebook or on specific forms that have been developed to record this information. Information should be as detailed as possible. As much pertinent information should be collected and recorded as possible including species, weight, morphometric measurements, and sex. Weather conditions should be noted. When practical, images should be collected of each animal handled and digital cameras have made this process very easy. While one can always eliminate excess information, it is impossible to go back and retrieve information that is forgotten or has been missed. Health assessment forms have been developed for the desert tortoise, *Gopherus agassizii* (Berry and Christopher, 2001), and can serve as a model for other reptiles and also amphibians.

If an animal dies or is euthanized and is necropsied, necropsy forms should be used to record information. These forms vary between institutions, and their application is especially important when researching species of special conservation concern. An example of one such form has been developed for sea turtles and is available on line (<http://www.vetmed.ufl.edu/sacs/wildlife/seaturtletechniques/index.htm>). The times at start and finish of the necropsy should be noted. For captive animals, a summary of the clinical course of each animal should be recorded. For wild animals found dead in the field, the stranding data sheet should be attached to the necropsy report. Photographs should be taken of the entire carcass, both dorsally and ventrally, and of any lesions recognized.

### **d. Commercial acquisition**

Under some circumstances, study animals may be acquired through commercial suppliers for laboratory studies and teaching applications. Generally speaking, licensed amphibian and reptile dealers acquire their specimens through field capture, trade, or captive breeding. Upon receipt of commercial specimens, and prior to introduction to any existing laboratory colonies, commercial specimens should be subjected to careful inspection for potential health problems or known pathogens. If feasible, a quarantine period may be advisable.

## **2. Restraint, Handling, and Anesthesia**

### **a. General principles: manual versus chemical**

The decision to use physical or chemical restraint of wild amphibians or reptiles should be based upon design of the experiment, knowledge of behavior of the animals, and availability of facilities. Investigators should determine and use the least amount of restraint necessary to do the procedure in a humane manner. Because amphibians or reptiles, especially venomous or toxic species (including those with toxic skin secretions), may be capable of inflicting serious injury either on themselves or those handling them, some form of restraint often is prudent. The well-being of the animal under study is of paramount importance; improper restraint, especially of frightened animals, can lead to major physical or physiological disturbances that can result in deleterious or even fatal consequences.

Animals are best handled quietly and with the minimum personnel necessary. Slightly darkened conditions tend to alleviate stress and quiet the animals and are recommended whenever appropriate. When handling large reptiles, netting, maneuvering or dropping them into a bag is preferable to the use of hooks, tongs, etc., to reduce struggling and damage or stress to the individual. Work with venomous species should never be done alone. Plastic tubes may be used when handling venomous snakes (Murphy and Armstrong, 1978). Snakes are guided into the tubes using a snake stick and when in the proper location, researchers can secure the animal by grasping the end of the tube (at the junction with the snake's body), thus impeding forward or backward movement of the snake.

Details on the use of tranquilizers, sedatives, and anesthetics have been reviewed elsewhere for amphibians (Fellers et al., 1994; Wright, 2001a) and reptiles (Heard, 2001; Fleming, 2001). The selection of the particular chemical that will aid the investigator when restraint is needed will depend upon the species being studied and the experience of the investigator or the consulting veterinarian. No single chemical is ideal for all reptiles and amphibians in all situations. Administration of a tranquilizer or sedative to an animal that is restrained in a body squeeze may prevent injury to the animal and/or persons working with it. Special procedures are needed for venomous reptiles (Gillingham, 1983).

In some cases, administration of general anesthesia for restraint in the field may be advisable. If so, the anesthetic chosen should be a low-risk one that permits rapid return to normal physiological and behavioral state. The animal must be kept under observation until complete recovery occurs. The relatively unpredictable and potentially delayed response of some ectotherms to immobilants or anesthetics may contraindicate use of these chemicals under field

conditions. Investigators must understand the specific action of restraint chemicals on the taxa studied. The investigator also should be prepared, if necessary, to hold the animal overnight until recovery is complete. A partially recovered animal may be at risk for injury, overheating, freezing, or predation.

**Chemical Restraint.** - Many chemicals used for restraint or immobilization of amphibians or reptiles are controlled by the Federal Bureau of Narcotics and Drugs. Permits are generally required for purchase or use of these chemicals. Extensive information on these substances and their use is available (Code of Federal Regulations, 1980; Marcus, 1981; Wallach and Boever, 1983), and permit application procedures are available from regional DEA offices.

For vertebrates in general, an appropriate chemical should usually be used whenever a procedure will cause pain or discomfort. The issue of pain in lower vertebrates can be traced back to 1900 (Dearborn, 1900). The author of this early study concluded that between the "maximum and minimum of developed life, all animal life has place and has accordingly, from this theoretical point of view, some degree or other of what, for want of a better term, is called pain." In a recent review of analgesia in fish, amphibians and reptiles (Machin, 2001), the author notes that the ability of an animal to perceive pain and distress is related to its taxonomic position in the Animal Kingdom, with mammals having a greater capacity than other vertebrates (Stevens, 1992). However, evidence is slowly accumulating to support presence of pain perception in amphibians and reptiles. In amphibians the smallest unmyelinated axons respond to painful stimulation (Spray, 1976). The endogenous opioid system that modulate the central processing of noxious stimulation in mammals are also present in amphibians (Stevens, 1988). While there are relatively few studies on the mechanism of pain perception in reptiles, the presence of nociceptor neurons in the oral mucosa and facial skin of crotaline snakes (Liang and Terashima, 1993), the effects of low dosages of opioids on the response of crocodylians to painful stimuli (Kanui and Hole, 1992), the presence of anatomic pathways that register noxious stimuli (Ten Donkelaar and de Boer-van Huizen, 1987), and behavioral response to noxious stimuli (Chrisman et al., 1997), all support pain perception in a wide variety of reptiles. Investigators should assume (unless proven otherwise) that any invasive procedure will cause pain in their research animal. The behavioral response to pain may be expressed differentially across taxonomic groups and nuances characteristic of a group will need to be appreciated. We note, however, that pain is an adaptive response in the sense that it reduces use of injured parts during the healing process. Such information should probably not be withheld from post-operative animals released into the field (e.g., after radio transmitter implant).

In order to reduce or eliminate pain, various chemicals that are used for this purpose in mammals also have application in reptiles. This includes the use of analgesics, sedatives, tranquilizers and anesthetics (Fleming, 2001; Heard, 2001; Machin, 2001). Because of the uncertainty of chemical actions on ectotherms, certain minor procedures may in the long run, be less traumatic to animals when anesthetics are not used. It is noteworthy that the elimination of pain by chemical means may impose increased handling and recovery time and consequent increases in stress. Therefore, there is an inherent trade-off between the severity and duration of pain, use of anesthetics, and stress produced by handling. In cases where the ultimate objective

is to minimize disturbance for observing natural behavior, the use of anesthetics may be undesired in order to minimize handling time. The IACUC should be receptive to reasonable justification of such procedures (e.g., toe-clipping, venipuncture).

The potent drugs available for wildlife immobilization when properly used are relatively safe (with the exception of succinylcholine) for target animals, but can be extremely dangerous if accidentally administered to humans. Succinylcholine has been used for immobilization of crocodylians and large chelonians. While capable of immobilizing an animal through its depolarizing effects at neuromuscular junctions, this chemical belongs to a class of drugs that have no analgesic properties at all. They should never be used as a means for collecting biopsies or performing any painful procedures. More effective chemicals are available for immobilizing most amphibians (Fellers et al., 1994; Wright, 2001a) and reptiles (Heard, 2001). The degree of danger varies according to the drug, and users must be aware of the appropriate action to take in the event of accident (Parker and Adams, 1978). Several common local anesthetics (e.g., Tetracaine, Lidocaine, Piperocaine, etc.) can be used for collecting biopsies. Lidocaine has been used most commonly and is generally infiltrated around the biopsy site. In small species these drugs may have systemic effects and animals treated with these drugs should be observed before release to the wild to be certain that behavior approximates normal. Investigators should choose the chemical for immobilization with consideration of the effects of that chemical on the target organism and in consultation with researchers that have relevant experience.

## **b. Hazardous Species**

Venomous snakes and lizards, certain large non-venomous lizards and snakes, some colubrid snakes (McKinstry, 1983), highly poisonous frogs, crocodylians, and some large turtles are potentially dangerous, and require special methods of restraint and handling as a compromise between potential injury to handlers and injurious restraint of the animal. The particular method chosen will vary with species and the purpose of the project. There are three elements to successful and safe handling of hazardous species; attention, equipment, and distance. Investigators should never rely on any one of these three elements alone, safety can only be achieved by the simultaneous application of all three.

(1) Attention: Hazardous wild animals are unpredictable. Investigators should always maintain concentration, and their attention on the animal while handling. Never work with hazardous species under distracting circumstances.

(2) Equipment: Using equipment such as tongs, tubes and squeeze boxes (Quinn and Jones, 1974) places a barrier between the investigator and the animal. A barrier is critical to keeping the investigator safe, but should never be trusted completely. For example, the use of heavy leather welding gloves to handle small venomous snakes is a technique that has resulted in some cases of accidental envenomation when fangs penetrate the glove. Gloves give researchers a false sense of

security (which causes lapse of attention), and if they fail, the investigator is not protected by attention or distance.

(3) Distance: Attention and equipment cannot prevent accidents if they lapse; however, distance is sure to prevent injury. Investigators should always keep hazardous species at a safe distance from body and extremities, even when they are controlled by equipment.

Adherence to the following general guidelines is recommended when housing and working with hazardous species (Gans and Taub, 1964):

- a. Procedures chosen should minimize the amount of handling time required, and reduce or eliminate contact between handler and animal. For example, bare-handing venomous snakes is a practice that is entrenched in some areas of herpetological research and husbandry despite the fact that injury to snakes and their handlers are common. The availability of tongs, tubes, and other handling devices renders direct contact between investigators and the head or neck of a venomous snake unnecessary. There are few, if any, legitimate circumstances where venomous snakes must be handled with the investigator's bare hand at the head or neck.
- b. Those handling venomous snakes or lizards should be knowledgeable concerning the proper methods and tools for handling these animals. A training plan should be in place that emphasizes safe procedures and responsibility.
- c. Animal technicians should be aware of emergency procedures to be instituted in case of accidental envenomation. Location of a nearby hospital with a supply of antivenin and of a physician with knowledge of envenomation treatment should be ascertained in advance. At a minimum, emergency procedures should include first aid measures, an evacuation plan (for field and laboratory), the logging of relevant data (species, time of envenomation, circumstances), and contact numbers for relevant medical professionals (personal physicians, nearest Poison Control Center). We also recommend the use of cell phones for both field and laboratory activities.
- d. One should avoid working alone. A second person, knowledgeable of capture/handling techniques and emergency measures, should be present whenever possible.
- e. Prior consultation with workers experienced with hazardous species, and review of the relevant literature, is of particular importance because much of the information on handling dangerous species is not published, but is passed simply from one investigator to another. Laboratories that work with hazardous species often have handling protocols written in formal manuals that can be obtained by request (e.g., Beupre, 1999). Some institutions may require written handling protocols for hazardous species.

- f. Housing of hazardous species requires special care to avoid escape. Hazardous species should be kept in locking cages (i.e., cages with locking mechanisms that do not rely on weighted lids), which should in turn be isolated in locked, escape-proof rooms. Such rooms should be inspected carefully and any potential routes of escape (including air vents, drains, exposed fixtures, or cracks under doors) should be blocked.

### **3. Non-Invasive Procedures**

A variety of diagnostic techniques have some application for field use. Most of these procedures entail various forms of imaging. Portable X-ray machines can be used in the field and have been used most commonly to determine presence of eggs in the coelomic cavity of chelonians. This has also been used to evaluate density of the skeletal system and therefore provide information on the nutritional status of the animal. Field workers need to be instructed in proper use of this equipment to reduce exposure to radiation. Radiation exposure badges should be used and monitored. Lead lined gloves and an apron should be used. Ultrasound devices also have been used to evaluate the reproductive status of female reptiles in the field. Imaging procedures such as CAT scans and MRIs, while technically feasible, have not been adapted to field use. While mobile machines are available from private companies, the cost of using these machines is a major factor limiting their use in the field. Pulse oximeters that have been developed for measuring oxygen saturation of blood and pulse rate of mammals, also have been used for monitoring reptile patients. However the data provided by such devices has not been validated for the oxygen dissociation curves of reptiles.

### **4. Biological Samples**

#### **a. Blood Sampling**

The total amount of blood that can be safely withdrawn from a reptile or amphibian depends upon the animal's size and health status. The total blood volume of reptiles varies between species but as a generalization is approximately 5 to 8% of total body weight (Lillywhite and Smits, 1984; Smits and Kozubowski, 1985). Thus, a 100 g snake has an estimated blood volume of 5 to 8 ml. Healthy reptiles can lose 10% of their blood volume without any detrimental consequences, thus, from a snake weighing 100 g, 0.7-ml of blood can be withdrawn safely. Much larger volume percentages of blood can be removed over an extended period of time (Lillywhite et al., 1983). However, this practice is limited to experimental animals under controlled laboratory conditions.

Anurans and Urodeles:

Several sites can be used depending upon size and species being sampled (Wright, 2001b). Urodeles can be sampled from the heart, abdominal vein and ventral tail vein. A cannulation technique has been described for the mudpuppy, *Necturus macuolusus*, and for the bullfrog (*Rana catesbiana*) (Copeland and DeRoos 1971; Herman et al., 1978). Frogs can be sampled from a lingual venous plexus, ventral abdominal vein, and tail vein.

### Chelonians (turtles and tortoises)

Several sites can be used in obtaining blood from chelonians, each having advantages and disadvantages. Sites include the heart, jugular vein, brachial vein, ventral coccygeal vein, orbital sinus, and trimmed toenails (Gandal, 1958; Dessauer, 1970; McDonald, 1976; Maxwell, 1979; Taylor and Jacobson, 1981; Rosskopf, 1982; Stephens and Creekmore, 1983; Avery and Vitt, 1984; Nagy and Medica, 1986; Jacobson, 1987).

Cardiac sampling, although not recommended, has been utilized. In young chelonians, before the shell has calcified, a needle can be passed through the plastron into the heart. Older tortoises with calcified shells requires either drilling a hole through the plastron over the heart, or using a spinal needle for percutaneous sampling through soft tissue in the axillary region at the base of the forelimbs. In all situations, a sterile technique is necessary since contamination of the pericardial sac with bacteria and other potential pathogens can lead to pericarditis and death of the turtle. A sterile drill bit should be used to create a hole, and the hole should be sealed with an appropriate sealant such as bone wax (Johnson and Johnson Co., Somerville, N.J., USA) and a methacrylate resin (Cyanoveneer, Ellman International Mfg., Inc., Hewlett, N.Y. USA).

In turtles and tortoises orbital sinus sampling can be used for collecting small volumes of blood in capillary tubes (Nagy and Medica, 1986). However, in order to prevent damage to periocular tissues and possible trauma to the cornea a moderate amount of care must be taken when using this technique. The end of the capillary tube is placed into the lateral canthus of the orbit and utilizing a gentle twisting motion blood can be collected. A further problem with this technique is that dilution of the blood sample with extravascular fluids and secretions may alter composition of plasma and effect volume percentages of cellular components. Blood samples are also commonly obtained from the scapular vein, brachial vein and brachial artery of chelonians (Rosskopf, 1982; Avery and Vitt 1984). However, vessels associated with limbs can rarely be visualized through the skin, and sampling is usually blind. In addition, since lymphatics are well developed in chelonian forelimbs (Ottaviani and Tazzi, 1977), obtaining blood samples from these vessels may result in hemodilution with lymph. At times pure lymph may be obtained.

One of the authors (E. Jacobson) has found that the only peripheral blood vessels which can be consistently visualized in many small and moderate sized tortoises is the jugular vein and carotid artery (Jacobson et al., 1992). The major problem encountered when sampling from these vessels is that manual extension and restraint of the head of the tortoise beyond the margins of the plastron is required, which at times may be difficult or impossible. One method is to push in or lightly touch the rear limbs, which usually causes the tortoise to extend its head from the shell, and allows the sampler to restrain the tortoise's head. Once grasped, the head is pulled out with one hand, and while sitting, the sampler positions the tortoise between the knees, with the tortoise's head pointing toward the sampler's body. The jugular vein and carotid artery are well developed on both right and left sides of the neck. Once the head is extended, the jugular can often be seen as a bulge through the cervical skin, coursing caudal from the level of the tympanic membrane to the base of the neck. The carotid artery is deeper and more difficult to visualize and is located ventral and parallel to the jugular vein. Once either vessel is identified, the skin over the puncture site should be cleaned with 70% ethanol and a 23 or 25 gauge butterfly catheter can be used for obtaining the sample. With the cap removed from the end of the tube, blood will flow down the tube once the needle is inserted into the vessel. The technique described above can be

used in Mediterranean tortoises (*Testudo* spp.), but is not always successful (E. Jacobson, pers. obs).

#### Crocodylians (crocodiles, alligators, gharial)

Blood samples can be obtained from the supravertebral vessel located caudal to the occiput and immediately dorsal to the spinal cord (Olson et al., 1975). The skin behind the occiput is cleansed with an organic iodine solution and 70% ethanol. A 3.75-cm, 22- or 23-gauge needle is inserted through the skin in the midline directly behind the occiput and is slowly advanced in a perpendicular direction. As the needle is advanced, gentle pressure is placed on the plunger. If the needle is passed too deep, the spinal cord will be pithed. Other sites of blood collection that are commonly used include the heart (via cardiocentesis) and ventral coccygeal vein (Jacobson, 1984). The heart is located in the ventral midline, approximately 11 scale rows behind the forelimbs. In collecting blood from the coccygeal vein, the crocodylian is placed in dorsal recumbency and the needle is inserted through the skin toward the caudal vertebrae.

#### Lizards

Blood samples can be obtained from several sites. In large lizards, blood is easily obtained from the ventral tail vein (Esra et al., 1975). Toenails can be clipped, and blood can be obtained in a microcapillary tube (Samour et al., 1984). Microcapillary tubes also can be used to obtain blood samples from the orbital sinus (LaPointe and Jacobson, 1974), in a similar fashion for collecting blood from mice.

#### Snakes

Blood samples can be obtained from a variety of sites, including the palatine veins, ventral tail vein, and via cardiocentesis (Olson et al., 1975; Samour et al., 1984). Some prefer heart puncture to other methods, and as long as the heart is not excessively traumatized with multiple attempts at sampling, the procedure is safe and effective. This method should be limited to those snakes over 300 grams (Jackson, 1981). Essentially, the heart is located either directly by seeing it beating through ventral scales or by palpation. The heart is relatively moveable within the coelomic cavity and is easy to move manually several scale rows both cranially and caudally. Once the heart is located, it is stabilized by placing a thumb at its apex and forefinger at its base. A 23- or 25-gauge needle attached to a 3- to 6-ml syringe is advanced under a ventral scale, starting at the apex and aiming toward the base. With gentle suction, a sample can be obtained. Sometimes a clear fluid is withdrawn, representing the pericardial fluid. In such cases, the needle should be withdrawn, a new syringe and needle secured, and the procedure repeated.

#### **b. Tissue Sampling**

Biopsies are often collected for diagnosing disease problems in amphibians (Wright, 2001c) and reptiles (Jacobson, 1992) and for biological studies such as DNA analyses. Procedures for the collection and preparation of tissues for biochemical analysis have been extensively reviewed (Dessauer and Hafner, 1984; Dessauer et al., 1990; Jacobs and Heyer, 1994). When the samples are collected for pathologic studies, multiple samples should be obtained for: 1) histopathology; 2) electron microscopy; 3) cytology; and 4) microbiology. While biopsies of internal organs also



can be collected, these are more often collected for disease studies rather than biologic studies. The focus here will be skin biopsies.

### Amphibians

Skin biopsies are easy to obtain in most species. Lidocaine can be used as a ring block around the biopsy site. The portion of skin is elevated with a forceps and a fine surgical scissor should be used for cutting the tissue. Wound glue or an appropriate suture material (see below) should be used to close the incision.

### Chelonians

Of all the reptiles, chelonians present the greatest challenge for biopsy, especially when lesions involve the shell. The reptile shell is a very hard biological structure that makes biopsy somewhat difficult. While under anesthesia, a rotary power saw (Dremel Mototool, Dremel Mfg. Co., Racine, Wisconsin, USA) or bone trephine can be used to cut a wedge out of the shell. Ideally, the biopsy should include normal tissue along with the diseased component. A piece should be fixed in neutral buffered 10% formalin for histopathological evaluation and a piece (with the most superficial contaminated portion removed) submitted for microbial culture. For initial attempts at isolation, the author often uses a broth such as tryptic soy broth. The defect created in the shell should be filled with calcium hydroxide dental paste (Root-Cal, Ellman International Mfg., Inc., Hewlett, New York, USA) and covered over with a methacrylate resin (Cyanoveneer, Ellman International Mfg., Inc., Hewlett, New York, USA). This technique is routinely used in repair of the chelonian shell.

For biopsy of soft tissue, a 2% xylocaine block is satisfactory and can be infiltrated around the biopsy site and the skin cleaned with 70% ethanol and allowed to dry. If the sample is to be cultured, sterile saline is used instead of ethanol. If there is epidermal involvement, a biopsy punch can be used for collecting the sample. Following punch biopsy, the skin may require a single suture for closure. Monofilament nylon is routinely used. If a subcutaneous mass is present, fine-needle aspiration can be performed. This is a rapid method, resulting in minimal trauma to the patient. A 22-gauge needle is inserted into the mass and using a 6 to 12 ml syringe, full negative pressure is developed by quickly pulling back on the plunger. While maintaining negative pressure on the syringe, the needle is moved throughout the mass in multiple planes. After several passes through the mass, the plunger is released and the needle removed from the mass. Negative pressure should not be applied to the plunger while removing the needle from the mass since this will cause the sample to be aspirated into the syringe barrel. The specimen may then be used in culture, cytological preparations, or histopathology (Jacobson, 1992).

### Crocodilians and Lizards

A full-thickness biopsy may be difficult in those areas of the crocodilian integument having osteoderms. Small crocodilians and most lizards can be manually restrained, whereas large crocodilians and large monitors must be chemically immobilized. The area around the biopsy site should be infiltrated with 2% xylocaine and a full-thickness skin incision taken with a biopsy punch. As with chelonians, a minimum of two biopsies should be taken, one for

histopathology and one for microbiology. For microbial culture, the lesions can be ground in a sterile tissue grinder and samples applied to appropriate media. This appears to be particularly important for isolation of fungi from reptile skin lesions. The author has had more success in isolating fungi when the skin is ground prior to attempts at isolation (E. Jacobson, pers. obs.).

### Snakes

Snakes are ideally suited for skin biopsy. Harmless species can be manually restrained, and venomous species can be guided into a plexiglass tube for restraint or anesthesia. Affected scales can be removed with a scalpel blade, or a sterilized one-hole paper punch can be utilized for biopsies of individual scales. In such cases, the area around the lesion should be infiltrated with 2 per cent xylocaine hydrochloride. In certain skin diseases, such as vesiculating skin lesions, larger samples may be needed. Similarly, for sampling subcutaneous masses, 2 per cent xylocaine can be infiltrated subcutaneously around the mass. Once removed, the mass should be split into several portions for various diagnostic evaluations.

## 5. Surgical Procedures

**a. General principles.** With any invasive procedure, standard aseptic technique (Powers, 1985) is essential. Amphibians, because of the structure of the skin, may need special considerations. For instance, prior to preparation of the surgical site, a commercially available artificial slime can be used to coat the skin (Wright, 2001c). While most liquids used in preparation of the surgical site are not absorbed by reptile skin, amphibian skin is permeable, and will be affected by most topical applications. Surgical scrubs and organic iodines, both solutions and soaps, are routinely used in reptiles. But in amphibians they are toxic and therefore must be avoided. In amphibians the two most commonly used disinfectants are chlorhexidine and benzalkonium chloride. While reptile skin is easily draped using either cloth drapes or plastic drapes, amphibian skin needs special attention. First, since amphibians are often anesthetized in a solution of MS222, this chemical needs to be applied to the skin of amphibians throughout the procedure. Aquatic amphibians having gills can be placed on a Styrofoam board with a section cut out for the head, and with the board floating in a solution of MS222, the head is placed in the solution. Sterile cloth soaked in an anesthetic solution of MS222 can be used to cover the animal's body (except for the surgical site). A plastic drape then can be used to completely cover the amphibian.

1. Equipment. The surgical equipment used will depend on the size of the animal. Standard surgical equipment can be used for mid-sized to large sized reptiles. Microsurgical equipment, while expensive, is preferred for use in small reptiles and amphibians (Bennett, 2000a, 2000; Wright, 2001c). If the procedure involves entering the coelomic cavity, retractors can be used to allow maximum visualization. Magnification is recommended for surgery on small amphibians and reptiles and is achieved using binocular loupes and telescopes. These magnification systems often come with a focal light source. Dexterity and manipulation of small structures are significantly improved when using this equipment.

2. Suture material. Suture material used in mammals and birds are used for similar procedures in amphibians and reptiles. The size of the material used will depend upon the size of the patient. For most small amphibians and reptiles, the size will range from 4-0 to 8-0. Larger sized material is available for large animals. Absorbable material such as polyglycolic acid and polydioxanone, are absorbed at a slower rate compared to birds and mammals. If used for closing skin, it may have to be removed following healing of the incision site. Nylon is most commonly used for closing skin. Gut suture material, especially chromic gut is to be avoided since it induces a major inflammatory response in amphibians and reptiles (Jacobson et al., 1985; Bennett, 2000a).

**b. Procedures.** A wide variety of surgical procedures have been described for amphibians (Wright, 2001c, Wright, 2000) and reptiles (Bennett, 2000a,b; Lock, 2000). In amphibians the most common minor surgical procedures are toe clipping or placement of subcutaneous or intracoelomic passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags (discussed below). Major surgical procedures in amphibians include placement of intravascular catheters for chronic blood sampling, laparoscopy, celiotomy, ovariectomy, and organ biopsy. In reptiles, toe clipping also has been used for identification, particularly in lizards, and the same principles discussed below similarly apply. PIT tags are also commonly used for identification. Radio transmitters (discussed below) have been surgically implanted in snakes, lizards, and crocodylians for tracking studies. These are generally implanted into the coelomic cavity following surgical procedures used for celiotomies in general (Bennett, 2000b). Other surgical procedures include implantation of intravascular catheters for chronic blood sampling and blood pressure recording studies, laparoscopy, endoscopy, ovariectomy, ovariosalpingohysterectomy (removal of the ovary, oviduct, shell gland, uterus), orchidectomy, and organ biopsy (Lock, 2000).

## **6. Animal Marking and Telemetry**

Marking animals for laboratory or field recognition is an essential technique in biological research. Important considerations in choosing a marking technique concern effects on behavior, physiology, and survival of the animal. The utility of any technique varies with the species under study; tissue-removal techniques may pose less long-term survival threat to some species than certain tagging methods. Marking techniques for amphibians and reptiles have been reviewed extensively (Ferner, 1979; Dunham et al., 1988; Donnelly et al., 1994). Although field observation indicates that individual wild animals can survive extensive tissue damage from natural causes (Brunson, 1986), the effect of most tissue-removal marking techniques on survival and fitness is not adequately known and is a topic worth investigating.

When choosing an acceptable marking technique, investigators must consider the nature and duration of restraint, the amount of tissue affected, whether pain is momentary or prolonged, whether the animal will be at greater than normal predation risk, whether the animal's ability to mate is reduced, and whether the risk of infection is minimal. Careful testing of unproven marking techniques on captive animals before use on free-ranging animals may reveal potential problems and is recommended. It may be desirable to use redundant techniques to assure accuracy during a study.

**a. Passive Integrated Transponders. -**

Passive integrated transponders (or PIT tags) represent a recent advance in animal marking techniques (Camper and Dixon, 1988). The tag itself is a small cylinder that can be injected into the animal either subcutaneously or intraperitoneally. The tags are read by a scanning device that provides electromagnetic energy to the tag, which then reflects a unique series of numbers and/or letters. The injection of the tag is a relatively simple procedure, however, aseptic procedures are needed. Surgical glue can be used to cover the site where the trochar (used for insertion of the PIT tag) is inserted through the skin. Studies that assess the impact of PIT tags on behavior, growth and survival are rare, however, available data suggests no strong evidence for lasting detrimental effects in frogs (Brown, 1997), salamanders (Ott and Scott, 1999) or snakes (Keck, 1994; Jemison et al. 1995). In some cases, PIT tags are not retained as reliably as other marking techniques (Germano and Williams, 1993; Ott and Scott, 1999), and the high cost of individual tags (approximately \$5.00 each) and tag readers may render this technique uneconomical for some research programs. In addition, although tags are small, they are clearly inappropriate for small species.

**b. Toe Clipping. -** Toe clipping, a ubiquitous technique (Dunham et al., 1988), may be used for general marking of free-ranging animals when toe removal is not judged (by observation of captives or of a closely-related species) to impair the normal activities of the marked animal. Toes essential to animals for activities such as burrowing, climbing, amplexus, or nest excavation, should never be removed. Removal of more than two non-adjacent toes per foot should be avoided. If behavior or survival of the animal is likely to be seriously impaired, alternate marking techniques should be employed. Aseptic technique should be maintained to avoid infection. Surgical equipment needs to be disinfected prior to each animal being clipped. If a scissor is used, the instrument can be dipped in alcohol and flamed. The impact of toe clipping on survival of marked amphibians has been discussed (Golay and Durrer, 1994; Reaser, 1995). Clarke (1972) reported adverse effects of toe-clipping on survival of *Bufo woodhousei*. Further work is needed to determine the impact that toe clipping may have on differential mortality, growth, or reproduction. However, the high incidence of natural toe loss among small lizards suggests that for small species at least, toe clipping, when prudently applied, may result in only minimal impact. The most important point to realize is that toe clipping is potentially a painful procedure and can result in infection if aseptic procedures are not followed.

**c. Scale Clipping / Branding. -** Removal of subcaudal or ventral scutes according to a standardized numerical code provides a good permanent marking system for snakes, which does not appear to increase mortality or impair locomotion (Blanchard and Finster, 1933). The scute is removed with small surgical scissors, or by rapid cauterization; healing usually is rapid, and infection is rare. Again, aseptic technique should be employed. Electrocauterization of a number or letter on the skin, in which deep layers of skin are cauterized to prevent regeneration, is comparable. Brand marks may not be visible in amphibians after a few months. The use of a local anesthetic (aerosols containing benzocaine, such as Cetacaine, may be applied) with branding or electrocauterization is complicated. Permeable skin of amphibians renders all topical applications

risky. Conversely, the less permeable skin of reptiles may reduce the effectiveness of topical products.

**d. Tattoos and Dye Markers.** - Tattooing has been used with success on both amphibians and reptiles. Two potential problems should be resolved prior to tattooing: 1) selection of a dye which will contrast with the normal skin pigmentation; and 2) loss of legibility due to diffusion or ultraviolet degradation of the dye. Paint should not be used to mark the moist and permeable skin of amphibians. Reptile skin permeability is quite variable, and paint or paint solvents may be absorbed and cause death of the animal. Paints with non-toxic pigments, bases, and solvents must be used. When toxicity is unknown, laboratory trials, even if limited, should be done before field use. Very tenacious paints may, if applied across shell sutures, severely distort the normal shell growth of turtles, especially sub-adults. Paint should not be applied to sutures of turtle shells. Two procedures for tagging amphibians for individual identification have recently been evaluated, or applied to large-scale field studies. Both procedures involve marking different regions of the body of amphibians with colored dyes; the combination of location and color provides a large number of potential unique identifiers. Of these, the most promising seems to be visible implant fluorescent elastomers (VIE) that are injected sub-cutaneously and either visualized with the naked eye (in lighter skinned animals) or with a black light that causes the dyes to fluoresce (Anholt and Negovetic, 1998; Jung et al., 2000; Nauwelaerts et al., 2000). A second method uses pressurized application of inert fluorescent powder (Nishikawa and Service, 1988; Schlaepfer, 1998). Both methods have been used successfully to mark caudates and anurans.

**e. Banding and Tagging.** - The size, shape and placement of tags should be appropriate to permit normal behavior of the animal marked. Bands and tags projecting from the body may produce physical impairment or enhance the risk of entanglement in undergrowth or aquatic cover. Brightly colored tags also may compromise an animal's camouflage. Raney and Lachner (1947) documented growth cessation in jaw-tagged toads. Graham (1986) cautioned that Petersen discs may cause mortality when used on freshwater turtles; they therefore must be used with great care in this application. Their use on marine turtles less exposed to the hazards cited by Graham may be less risky. Colored mylar ribbon tags 2-5 cm long may prove an acceptable alternative for freshwater turtles. Colored discs and tags conceivably could function as predator attractants.

**f. Shell Marking.** - In most species of turtles, the bony shell can be marked by cutting notches or small holes in the marginal scutes of the carapace. In addition, disc-type tags and clamp-on ear-type tags (see cautionary remarks above) have been applied to those soft-shelled turtles that lack bony scutes and to sea turtles.

**g. Radioisotopes.** - The use of radioisotopes as markers in natural systems is valuable, and may be the only means of adequately gathering data on movements of very small species; the technique, however, should be undertaken with caution. Special training and precautions are required of researchers by federal and, frequently, state law (Code of Federal Regulations, 1984). A license, which specifies safety procedures for laboratory use, is required for release of isotopes

into natural systems and for disposal of waste material. The pros and cons of using strong emitters must be assessed in terms of possible deleterious effects on the animal, to predators that might ingest isotope-labeled animals, and potential hazard to the public.

### **g. Radiotelemetry**

Radiotelemetry is a specialized form of animal marking, and the same general caveats apply. Transmission is regulated by the Federal Communications Commission, and investigators should inquire about the availability of the frequencies they plan to use. General telemetry techniques are summarized in (Amlaner and MacDonald, 1980), and new ones become available continually. In general, each group of organisms poses unique problems for transmitter attachment or surgical implantation. For example, the body form of lizards limits most telemetry to species of relatively large size. However, the body form of snakes facilitates telemetry by being elongate (which allows subcutaneous extension of an antenna), by usually being in contact with the substrate (which provides additional support for the transmitter), and by being distensible to a larger degree (the large meals relative to body size that snakes ingest are accommodated by additional room in the body cavity) than in most lizards. Some turtles, on the other hand, may require external attachment of transmitters due to limited access to the peritoneal cavity through limb openings in the shell. In addition the probability of post-surgery infection may depend on species and environment. For example, aquatic or semi-aquatic species may pose greater infection risk than terrestrial species. Although there are several publications regarding surgical techniques for transmitter implantation in snakes (Reinert and Cundall 1982; Weatherhead and Anderka, 1984; Hardy and Greene, 1999), and lizards (Wang and Adolph, 1995), the best source of information for any particular species probably lies with specific researchers that have relevant experience. As discussed above under surgical techniques, aseptic but not necessarily sterile procedures should be employed. It is reasonable for the local IACUC to require evidence of research into species-specific techniques, or taxon-specific training from experienced individuals.

There are differences of opinion regarding maximum recommended ratios for transmitter weight to animal weight. Most agreement seems to settle around a maximum of 10%, and most of this weight will be battery where long transmitter life is necessary; in practice, component miniaturization allows ratios of about 6% to 1% for many applications with larger animals. Smaller (and hence shorter-lived) batteries presently are the only means of achieving these ratios with small animals. Researchers intending to use radiotelemetry on amphibian or reptilian species should consider the following guidelines and comments:

1. Force-Fed Transmitters. - Force-fed packages, most commonly used in snakes, should be small enough to pass through the gut without greatly impairing the passage of food. Force-fed or implanted packages should be coated with an impervious, biologically inert material before use. Force-fed packages should not be secured within the animal by suturing the gut. If secured within the animal via body-band, the band should be removed periodically to allow resumption of feeding.

2. **Implanted Transmitters.** - The size and placement of implanted transmitters should not interfere with the function(s) of the organs surrounding them or with normal behavior. For intracoelomic or subcutaneous implants, suturing the transmitter package in place may be necessary to prevent its movement or interference with vital organs. Implants should be done in aseptic conditions.

3. **Externally Attached Transmitters.** - Radiotransmitter attachment to small reptiles (such as lizards) can also be achieved by means of a harness constructed to fit the study species (Fisher and Muth, 1995). In the case of externally mounted radiotransmitters, care should be taken to ensure that the transmitter poses no risk of entanglement (such as in arboreal or fossorial species). Consideration must be given to the effect of the package on behavioral interactions between tagged animals and other individuals. For example, the transmitter should neither conceal nor enhance the appearance of behaviorally important dorsal crests or gular flaps. Transmitter attachments that can be expected to greatly impair reproduction, locomotion or other normal activity of the animal should be avoided.

Most amphibians and reptiles, including adults, may continue to grow throughout life. External transmitters must be removed or designed to be lost after a time, or they may constrict or irritate the animals. External transmitters can be attached to crocodylians and turtles by collars, clamps, or adhesives. Rigid adhesives and paints extensively applied across sutures of shells of young turtles may impair normal growth if left in place over several years. Special consideration must be given to soft-shelled species to prevent abrasion (Eckert and Eckert, 1986).

## **7. Euthanasia**

Euthanasia is the act of bringing about death in the most humane way as possible. The AVMA Panel on Euthanasia (AVMA, 1993) was expanded over the previous report to include poikilothermic vertebrates. Additional information on euthanasia of amphibians and reptiles can be found elsewhere (Cooper et al., 1989). Adult amphibians (A) and reptiles (R) may be painlessly killed by use of a chemical anesthetic such as sodium pentobarbitol (R), hydrous chlorobutanol (A), MS-222 (A) (Tricaine methane sulfonate, marketed as Finquel(tm) by Ayerst, Inc.), urethane-ethyl-carbamate (A) (referred to hereafter as urethane), 10% ethanol (A) or similar anesthetics. In addition, amphibians can be euthanized by ventral application of Oragel, a 20% benzocaine gel, available over the counter world-wide (Chn and Combs, 1999). The euthanasia agent T-61 (National Laboratories) is very effective on reptiles (J. Johnson, pers. comm.). Use of such chemicals requires little additional time and effort, adds little to the bulk or weight of collecting equipment, and allows for preparation of better quality specimens. Urethane is carcinogenic, and caution should be observed with its use and field disposal. Other anesthetics may also be acceptable, especially since new agents are frequently developed. Gunshot is an acceptable and often necessary collecting technique, and is also recognized for euthanasia (Smith, 1986). The euthanasia procedure selected will depend upon the disposition of the carcass. For instance, while intracoelomic barbiturates are commonly used in the euthanasia of amphibians and reptiles, these chemicals are very destructive to tissues. If histologic studies of internal organs are to be conducted, then intracoelomic injection of barbiturates should be avoided. When special

circumstances require that specimens (very small or larval animals, for example) be formalin-fixed without prior anesthetic killing, prior light anesthetization with an anesthetic such as MS-222 is recommended (Fowler, 1986).

## **8. Museum Specimens**

The collection of samples for museum preparation from natural populations is critical to: 1) understanding the biology of animals throughout their ranges and over time; 2) recording the biotic diversity, over time and/or in different habitats; and 3) establishing and maintaining taxonomic reference material essential to understanding the evolution and phylogenetic relationships of amphibians and reptiles. The number of specimens collected should be kept to the minimum the investigator determines necessary to accomplish the goal of a study. Some studies (e.g., diversity over geographic range or delineation of variation of new species) require relatively large samples (Reynolds et al., 1994).

Museum Specimens and Other Killed Specimens. - The collection of live animals and their preparation as museum specimens is necessary for research and teaching activities in Systematic Zoology. Such collections should further our understanding of these animals in their natural state and do not serve merely as tools for teaching specimen preparation techniques. Herpetological collecting techniques and representative practices of collection management have been compiled (Simmons, 2002), as have references to field techniques (Thomas, 1977). Whenever amphibians or reptiles are collected for museum deposition, specimens should be fixed and preserved according to accepted methods (McDiarmid, 1994; Jacobs and Heyer, 1994; Simmons, 2002) to assure the maximum utility of each animal and to minimize the need for duplicate collecting. In principle, each animal collected should serve as a source of information on many levels of organization from behavior to DNA sequence. Whenever practical, blood and other tissues should be collected for karyotypic and molecular study prior to formalin fixation of the specimen.

Formalin fixation of dead specimens is acceptable practice; however, killing unanesthetized specimens by immersion in a formalin solution is unacceptable, unless justified for scientific reasons. Formalin immersion of unanesthetized animals may, however, be the only way to adequately fix certain details of morphology critical to the successful completion of research.

## **V. Housing and Maintenance**

Because the biological needs of each species and the nature of individual projects vary widely, only the most general recommendations on housing wild reptiles and amphibians can be made. When dealing with unfamiliar species, testing and comparing several methods of housing to find the method most appropriate for the needs of the animal and the purposes of the study may be necessary. Restraint and ease of maintenance by animal keepers should not be the prime determinant of housing conditions; however, many times researchers can infer from knowledge of the biology of their animals, what the requirements are for a particular species to thrive. Such information should be incorporated whenever possible



Normal field and laboratory maintenance should incorporate, as far as possible, those aspects of natural habitat deemed important to the survival and well-being of the animal. Adequacy of maintenance can be judged, relative to the natural environment, by monitoring a combination of factors such as changes in growth and weight, survival rates, breeding success, activity levels, general behavior, and appearance. Consideration should be given to providing an environment that includes features such as natural materials, refuges, perches, and water baths. Natural foods should be duplicated as closely as possible, as should natural light and temperature conditions unless alterations of these are factors under investigation.

Frequency of cage cleaning should represent a compromise between the level of cleanliness necessary to prevent disease, and the amount of stress imposed by frequent handling and exposure to unfamiliar surroundings and bedding. Applied knowledge of animal ethology can assist the investigator to provide optimum care and housing.

### **1. General Considerations**

Husbandry has been discussed at length for both amphibians and reptiles (Nace et al. 1974; Frye, 1991; Pough, 1992; Schaeffer et al., 1992; Greene, 1996; Wright and Whitaker, 2001b; Zimmerman 1986). A particularly excellent resource, which provides taxon-specific (e.g. salamanders, frogs, crocodilians, lizards, snakes and turtles) information on housing and maintenance can be found in Schaeffer et al. (1992). Our goal is not to reiterate the detail found in these other sources, but rather to summarize major considerations, and provide access to relevant literature for both researchers and local IACUC. What follows is a general synthesis of information found in the references cited above.

The diversity of reptiles and amphibians makes it impractical to provide strict recommendations for housing and maintenance. It is always in the best interest of the principal investigator to ensure the welfare of animals in their care. Failure to do so will likely result in unreliable experimental or observational results. It is reasonable for the local IACUC to require evidence that husbandry protocols for any particular species are appropriate to that species. Likewise, under most circumstances, the principal investigator is usually an authority on the proper care of the focal species, and the IACUC should be receptive to well supported deviations from what might be considered standard procedures for other research organisms.

As Pough (1992) points out, reptiles and amphibians require special considerations because of their diversity and ectothermy. The latter of these two features sets reptiles and amphibians apart from more traditional endothermic organisms (mammals and birds) used in biomedical or agricultural research. As ectotherms, reptiles and amphibians are relatively low energy systems with minimal gas exchange requirements, and therefore, they can usually be fed infrequently and housed at relatively high density in rooms with fewer air changes per unit time. There are several physical and biological factors that must be considered when housing and caring for reptiles and amphibians, including; temperature, light, humidity, availability of water, refuges, behavioral or social interactions, cage substrates, and nutrition. Some attention should be paid to each of these factors to ensure that the requirements for physical, social, and physiological function are met. Research on amphibians and reptiles may require both short-term (days to weeks) and/or long-term (months to years) confinement, and the degree to which conditions must be maintained will vary depending on duration.

## **2. Short-term housing**

Many research programs require the capture of amphibians and reptiles from the field and transportation to field stations, laboratories, or other facilities. In many cases, animals will be held for short periods while they are marked and measured, and may have tissue samples collected, or minor surgical procedures such as radio transmitter implantation. Housing during these short periods of captivity can focus specifically on minimal requirements for short-term survival, including temperature, moisture and light conditions. Specifically, these three parameters should be maintained within ranges that facilitate the short-term comfort and well-being of the species in question.

### **a. Transportation**

Animals collected in the field should be confined and transported in a way that does not compromise them from extremes of temperature, moisture, or overcrowding. Some species should be contained independently of others to minimize negative interactions such as predation or disease vectoring.

### **b. Temporary field site housing**

If manipulation of parameters of the natural environment (daylength, etc.) is not part of the research protocol, field housing for wild vertebrates being held for more extended periods of time (e.g. weeks) should approximate natural conditions as closely as possible, while adhering to appropriate standards of care (e.g., Nace et al., 1974; National Institutes of Health Guide for Grants and Contracts, 1985a, 1985b; Frye, 1991; Schaeffer et al., 1992). Caging and maintenance should provide for the safety and well-being of the animal, while adequately allowing for the objectives of the study.

## **3. Long-term and colony housing**

Many research programs require the long-term housing, and possibly the propagation of amphibians and reptiles in captivity. Unlike temporary housing, long-term captivity requires greater attention to details that promote the health and well being of research animals.

### **a. Caging and maintenance**

Recommendations for cage characteristics have been discussed at length for both amphibians (Nace et al., 1974) and reptiles (Frye, 1991; Pough, 1992; Schaeffer et al., 1992). In general, containers should be large enough to promote comfort and normal growth, as well as facilitate the provision of other requirements listed below. Most researchers that utilize reptiles and amphibians will work with wild animals that are prone to escape. Cages should be chosen or designed to be escape-proof for the species under consideration. This is a crucial consideration and responsibility for principal investigators that conduct research on venomous or otherwise

dangerous species. We recommend locking containers that do not rely on weighted lids or other hastily constructed alternatives. Cages should be constructed of materials that do not absorb water so that they can be easily cleaned, disinfected and dried when appropriate. Likewise, caging materials should not present hazards such as rough edges or surfaces that can damage animals as they search for escape routes. Cages for dangerous species should be transparent so that the position of the animal can be visually assessed.

Schedules of cage cleaning should represent a tradeoff between cleanliness and disturbance. In some cases, small amounts of fecal material and pheromones deposited in the cage may be beneficial to behavior and stress levels (reviewed in Pough 1992; and taxon-specific chapters in Schaeffer et al., 1992, Greene, 1996).

### **b. Thermal requirements**

Because of their ectothermic nature, thermal considerations are paramount to the health and well-being of amphibians and reptiles (Frye, 1991; Pough, 1992). Taxon-specific ranges of preferred temperature can be obtained from primary literature (reviewed in Frye, 1991; Pough, 1992). Frye (1991) provides general guidelines for estimating preferred temperature ranges based on characteristics of natural habitat. Every effort should be made to ensure that caging environment provides thermal conditions that enhance behavioral and physiological function. Pough (1992) recommends cage designs that provide thermal gradients and ample opportunity for animals to behaviorally thermoregulate by choosing from diverse microenvironments. Such caging arrangements may require heat lamps or tapes, and a diversity of perch and retreat sites. For some larger or more eurythermic species, providing such a diversity of thermal microhabitats may be both unnecessary and/or impractical. In such circumstances, adequate control over room temperature can be substituted. Most sources recommend that captive reptiles and amphibians be subjected to thermal cycles around the “preferred” temperature. Where possible, such cycles should be based on natural thermal variation during the normal active season of the organism (provided that natural variation does not exceed the critical thermal limits of the animal).

### **c. Lighting**

Photoperiod is another important factor that must be considered for captive reptiles and amphibians. Many reptiles obtain physiological cues from light:dark cycles. In addition, many species (especially lizards, and some amphibians), but not all (e.g., most snakes) require an ultraviolet light source for normal calcium metabolism and Vitamin D synthesis (reviewed in Pough, 1992). Principal investigators should research their organisms to determine if UV or full-spectrum lighting is required (reviewed by Pough, 1992). Constant light (or dark) environments should be avoided because they may induce stress (Frye, 1991).

### **d. Air changes and humidity**

As previously indicated, and reviewed in detail by Pough (1992), ectotherms are generally small, have low metabolic rates, and therefore, low rates of gas exchange and waste production. Thus,

mammalian or avian standards for room air changes are generally excessive for reptiles and amphibians. In addition, high humidity is necessary for some species; a condition that is more practical and economical with lower air turnover rates (Pough, 1992), and which may require virtually sealed containers for some amphibians (Jaeger, 1992). Humidity requirements should be considered on a case-by-case basis. It is reasonable for the IACUC to request references that recommend specific humidity guidelines for particular taxonomic groups.

#### **e. Food and water**

General nutritional requirements (e.g., herbivory, omnivory, insectivory, carnivory) are well-known for most amphibians and reptiles (Pough, 1992; Schaeffer et al., 1992). For particular species, there is often information available in the primary literature regarding natural diets. Captive diets should mimic natural diets to the closest extent possible, but this is often difficult and substitute foods must be used. Amphibians and reptiles should be fed appropriate foods on schedules that maintain normal growth and/or maintenance depending on the needs of specific studies. Because of their low energy requirements, ectotherms do not usually need frequent feedings, at least in comparison to mammals and birds. The key criteria for feeding schedules should be maintenance of weight and general health. Some reptiles and amphibians may require vitamin supplements (reviewed in Pough, 1992).

Water requirements are also variable and species-specific. Water should be provided with knowledge of a species natural history as a guide (Frye, 1991; Pough, 1992; Greene, 1996). For most species, water bowls should be large enough to facilitate full-body soaking should the animal so desire. Water bowls should be kept full to provide *ad libitum* access (subject to needs of experimental design). For some species with high humidity requirements, or that refuse to drink from open water bowls, frequent misting may be required (Frye, 1991; Pough, 1992; Greene, 1996).

#### **f. Substrates**

Appropriate cage substrates will again vary by organism, and specific recommendations for broad taxonomic groups can be found in Schaeffer et al. (1992). Several undesirable substrates have been identified, including ground corncobs, kitty litter, pine shavings (all of which swell when ingested), and cedar shavings (which have toxic properties, reviewed in Pough, 1992). Attractive qualities of cage substrates include absorbancy, non-toxicity, and resistance to bacterial growth. Substrates that may cause intestinal blockage if ingested should be avoided (Frye, 1991). Some substrates also lend themselves to greater ease of cleaning or replacement (e.g. newsprint, butcher paper, artificial turf).

#### **g. Other considerations**

For many species, and especially among lizards (Greenberg, 1992), social environment may play an important role in health and well-being. In some cases, territorial individuals may do

better when housed individually (e.g., when dominance hierarchies form, some individuals may be injured or excluded from access to food, water, or basking sites), whereas in others, social interactions may enhance an individual's environment (reviewed in Pough, 1992). For species that communicate chemically, efforts should be made to minimize residual pheromones that may have been left by previous cage occupants, or that may be transferred by handling (Jaeger, 1992; Pough, 1992). We recommend that the degree of allowed social interactions be considered on a case-by-case basis, while considering the aims of the study and well-being of the animals. For some animals, especially when the researcher seeks to support a reproductive colony, the induction of artificial hibernation may be beneficial and should be considered (reviewed in Frye, 1991).

## **VI. Disposition of ill or dead animals during the course of study**

**1. Diagnosis.** Diagnosis of specific health problems should be attempted whenever a research animal shows signs of illness. The greatest challenges are in those very small and very large reptiles, and also venomous species. The repertoire of diagnostic techniques is particularly limited in small reptiles and amphibians such as small geckos and dart frogs. Where colonies of amphibians or reptiles are affected, one or more ill animals should be killed for complete postmortem evaluation including histopathology. Where this is not practical or possible, then a variety of antemortem evaluations can be used to try and elucidate the problem. A laboratory animal veterinarian or clinician with experience in amphibian and reptile medicine should be consulted. The American Association of Reptilian and Amphibian Veterinarians is a professional organization having members with this experience and interest (see: <http://www.arav.org/>). Diagnostic techniques include blood evaluations (complete blood counts and plasma biochemical evaluations), imaging (radiology, CAT Scan, MRI, ultrasound), endoscopy/laparoscopy, microbial cultures, fecal examinations, and histologic examination of biopsy specimens.

**2. Treatment.** Specific treatment will depend upon diagnostic findings and/or overall assessment by the clinician. A veterinary clinician or laboratory animal veterinarian should be consulted for recommendations. Treatment is both an art and a science. The art is selection of a treatment regime prior to having all diagnostic test results. This will be dependent upon past experiences of the clinician. The science entails selecting the most appropriate diagnostic tests and then either persisting with or changing the current treatment regime. Treatment may entail local, oral and parenteral antimicrobials, parasiticides, fluid administration, and use of drugs to relieve pain. The immune system of reptiles appears to be temperature dependent so maintaining the ill animal at an ideal temperature is imperative. More detailed information on various treatments can be found elsewhere (Klingenberg, 1966; Jacobson, 1999; Wright and Whitaker, 2001a).

**3. Necropsy** – Scientifically valuable specimens should be preserved for museum donation, and necropsy may destroy the utility of a specimen. Necropsy, however, may be indispensable for assessing cause of death when such information is critical. Necropsy guides for amphibians (Nichols, 2001) and reptiles (Jacobson, 1978) are available. Necropsies start on the

outside and move internally in a methodical manner. The exterior of the animal should be thoroughly examined, describing all gross abnormalities. Drawings of the animal, both dorsally and ventrally, should be used to indicate location of lesions. Wounds to the integument should be noted. Any other changes such as swellings to joint spaces of long bones and cutaneous or subcutaneous masses are recorded. Samples of all significant lesions should be collected for histopathology. Samples are placed in neutral buffered 10% formalin (NBF). NBF will only penetrate 6 mm in 24 hr, so make sure tissues are thin enough to allow adequate fixation. The NBF to tissue volume ratio should be 10:1. If hard tissue such as long bone is collected, it should be fixed in a container separate from the soft tissues to allow adequate penetration and fixation.

The overall appearance of the animal will dictate whether to continue with a full necropsy. If the animal is in an advanced state of postmortem change, such as being bloated with gas, skin or discolored, collection of tissues for histopathologic evaluation will be unrewarding.

A complete necropsy should include collection and archiving of fixed (neutral buffered 10% formalin) and frozen tissue (at least -70 C) samples from all tissues, so that materials are available for retrospective studies and research (e.g., toxin analysis, nutrient analysis, virus isolation, transmission studies, immunodiagnostic and molecular diagnostic tests). Normal tissue specimens should be saved in addition to obvious lesions. Specimens from lesions should be representative of the entire lesion and large enough to include adjacent normal tissue. This not only facilitates comparison of pathologic tissue with normal but often the active process and the primary etiologic agent are found at the edges of a lesion.

## **VII. Disposition of living healthy animals following study**

Upon completion of short-term studies, some researchers may wish to release field-trapped specimens whenever this is practical and ecologically appropriate. However, repatriation of research animals into the wild is controversial (Pough, 1992) and should probably be limited to field-oriented studies with special circumstances (see below). Captive animals that cannot be released should be disposed of properly, either by distribution to colleagues for further study or educational purposes, or by preservation and deposition as teaching or voucher specimens in research collections. Obviously, some specimens will be deposited as voucher specimens in an appropriate reference collection to document that the identification was appropriate and to provide a basis for comparison among studies (Reynolds et al., 1994).

### **1. Euthanasia**

In both the field and laboratory, the investigator must be careful to ensure that animals subjected to euthanasia procedure are dead before disposal. In those rare instances where specimens are unacceptable for deposition as vouchers or teaching purposes, disposal of carcasses must be in accordance with acceptable practices as required by applicable regulations. Animals containing administered toxic substances or drugs (including euthanasia agents like T-61) must not be disposed of in areas where they may become part of the natural food web.

#### **a. Incineration**

A standard operating procedure for the disposal of animal carcasses from medical or agricultural studies is incineration. In many cases carcasses of amphibians and reptiles used in research retain scientific or educational value, and incineration may be inappropriate. The decision to utilize incineration as a means of disposal should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

### **b. Donation to teaching or museum collections**

When possible, specimens that retain scientific or educational value should be properly preserved (Simmons, 2002) and donated to teaching or museum collections.

## **2. Living animals**

In cases where animals are not sacrificed as a study endpoint, and they are pathogen-free and in good health, there are several options to consider for disposition. Consistent with the concept of minimizing ecological impact and obtaining maximum use out of living organisms, and especially those that were captured from field populations, transfer to other studies, adoption by zoos, museums, or individuals, and/or repatriation into the wild should be considered.

### **a. Transfer to other studies**

In many cases, at the completion of studies, animals retain value for continued research. The IACUC should be receptive to the transfer of healthy valuable organisms both within and between institutions for the purposes of continued study. This is especially important from the standpoint of reducing the need to collect animals from the wild. Such transfers should be accompanied by full documentation and should adhere to applicable local, State and National laws governing possession and transfer of reptiles and amphibians. Appropriate quarantines should be applied (Jacobson, 1993; Woodford, 2001).

### **b. Adoption**

In many cases, healthy animals retain significant educational value and can be constructively donated for adoption by zoos, museums, and even private individuals that support educational or captive breeding programs.

### **c. Repatriation into the wild**

Repatriation of research animals into the wild is a controversial issue. Pough (1992) argues that release of reptiles and amphibians held in captivity "...should be prohibited in almost all cases", due to risk of pathogen introduction, and potential effects on natural gene pools. However, under some circumstances, especially with respect to ecological studies that involve integrated laboratory and field components, repatriation of captive animals may be a necessary element to a successful research program. Release of research animals needs to be considered and

incorporated into the design of the study from its inception. Releases should be limited to cases of short-term captivity where healthy animals are released at their capture location. Furthermore, published protocols for planned releases should be followed (Jacobson, 1993; Woodford, 2001). As a general rule, field-trapped animals should be released only:

- (i) If release is not specifically prohibited by national, state, or local law.
- (ii) If they are currently healthy and have been held in isolation from exotic species and other research collections. Animals returned to the wild should never be in contact with other species, especially exotics. Two major pathogens in amphibians, chytrid fungi and ranavirus may have been introduced into wild populations by humans (Daszak et al., 1999). Relatively few infectious diseases have been studied in wild amphibians and reptiles and the exact origin of these pathogens is unknown. Captive amphibians and reptiles can harbor pathogens that were acquired in captivity and may serve as a vector for infecting wild populations.
- (iii) At the original site of capture. Preservation of the integrity of natural gene pools should be paramount. Conservation efforts or safety considerations may dictate that animals be translocated. For these exceptional circumstances, prior approval of relocation should be obtained from appropriate state and/or federal agencies, and approved relocations should be noted in subsequent publication of research results.
- (iv) If their ability to survive in nature has not been irreversibly impaired.
- (v) Where there is reasonable expectation that the released animal will re-establish its former social status.
- (vi) When local and seasonal conditions are conducive to survival.

### **VIII. Preparation and Revisions of These Guidelines**

The initial draft of these guidelines was prepared by George R. Pisani (SSAR), Stephen D. Busack (HL) and Herbert C. Dessauer (ASIH). Victor H. Hutchison prepared the formal copy and Gary D. Schnell the camera-ready copy. The guidelines were revised and expanded to include laboratory as well as field studies in 2004 by Steven J. Beaupre (ASIH), Elliott Jacobson, Harvey Lillywhite (ASIH) and Kelly Zamudio (ASIH). The current product represents the collective efforts of over 75 persons and the societies extend sincere thanks to all participants.

Periodic revision of these guidelines is expected. Investigators are encouraged to send constructive criticisms or applicable new information to officers of the societies.



## IX. References

- Amlaner, C. J., Jr. and D. W. MacDonald (eds). 1980. A Handbook on Biotelemetry and Radio Tracking. Pergamon Press, Oxford, England.
- Anholt, B. R. and S. Negovetic. 1998. Methods for anaesthetizing and marking larval anurans. *Herpetological Review* 29(3).
- Avery, H. W. and Vitt, L. J. 1984. How to get blood from a turtle. *Copeia*. 1984, 209-210.
- AVMA 1993. Report of the AVMA Panel on Euthanasia. *JAVMA* 202:229-249.
- Beaupre, S. J. 1999. Snake laboratory procedures manual. Version 1.1. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR.
- Bennett R. A. 2000a. Preparation and equipment useful for surgery in small exotic pets. Pp. 563-585 *In: The Veterinary Clinics of North America, Exotic Animal Practice. Soft-Tissue Surgery.* Bennett RA (ed). WB Saunders Co., Philadelphia.
- Bennett R. A. 2000b. Nonreproductive surgery in reptiles. Pp. 715-732 *In: The Veterinary Clinics of North America. Exotic Animal Practice. Soft-Tissue Surgery.* Bennett RA (ed.). WB Saunders Co, Philadelphia.
- Berry, K. H. and M. M. Christopher. 2001. Guidelines for the field evaluation of desert tortoise health and disease. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*. 2001 Jul; 37(3): 427-450.
- Blanchard, F. N. and E. B. Finster. 1933. A method of marking living snakes for future recognition, with a discussion of some problems and results. *Ecology* 14(4):334.
- Brown, L. J. 1997. An evaluation of some marking and trapping techniques currently used in the study of anuran population dynamics. *J. Herpetol.* 31:410-419.
- Brunson, K. 1986. Some unusual injuries to snakes. *Kansas Herpetological Society Newsl.* No. 65:13-14.
- Camper, J. D. and J. R. Dixon, 1988. Evaluation of a microchip marking system for amphibians and reptiles. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department.
- Chen, M. H. and C. A. Combs. 1999. An alternative anesthesia for amphibians: ventral application of benzocaine. *Herpetological Review*, 30:34.
- Chrisman, C. L., M. Walsh, J. C. Meek, et al. 1997. Neurologic examination of sea turtles. *J Amer Vet Med Assoc*, 211:1043-1047.

Clarke, R. D. 1972. The effect of toe-clipping on survival in Fowler's toad, (*Bufo woodhousei fowleri*). *Copeia* 1972(1):182-185.

Code of Federal Regulations 21: Food and Drugs, Part 1300 to End. April 1,1980.  
Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

Code of Federal Regulations Title 10, Part 20. 1984. Standards for Protection Against Radiation. Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402. (Other information is available from: U. S. Atomic Energy Commissions, Oak Ridge, TN 37831.)

Cooper, J. E., R. Ewbank, C. Platt, and C. Warwick. 1989. Euthanasia of Amphibians and Reptiles. Report of a Joint UFAW/WSPA Working Party. Universities Federation for Animal Welfare, Herts, UK and World Society for the protection of Animals, Herts, UK,

Copeland, D. L. and R. DeRoos. 1971. Effect of mammalian insulin on plasma glucose in the mudpuppy (*Necturus maculosus*). *J. Exp. Zool.* 178(1): 35-44.

Corn, P. S. 1994. Straight-line drift fences and pitfall traps. Pp. 109-117 *in* Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Amphibians (W. R. Heyer, M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek and M. S. Foster eds.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Daszak, P., A. D. Hyatt, L. Berger, R. Speare, D. E. Green, and A. A. Cunningham. 1999. Emerging infectious diseases and amphibian population declines. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 5: 735-748.

Dearborn, D.G.V.N. 1900. Do the reactions of the lower animals against injury indicate pain sensations? *Science*, 11:270-272.

Dessauer, H. 1970. Blood chemistry of reptiles: Physiological and evolutionary aspects. *In* *Biology of the Reptilia*. Eds. C. Gans and T.S. Parsons, Vol. 3, Morphology C. Academic Press, New York. pp. 1-72.

Dessauer, H. C. and M. S. Hafner (eds.). 1984. Collections of frozen tissues: Value, management, field and laboratory procedures, and directory of existing collections. Association of Systematics Collections, Lawrence, KS.

Dessauer, H. C., C. J. Cole, and M. S. Hafner. 1990. Collection and storage of tissues. Pp. 25-41. *In* D. M. Hillis, and C. Moritz (eds.), *Molecular Systematics*. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.

Donnelly, M. A., C. Guyer, J. E. Jutterbock, and R. A. Alford. 1994. Techniques for marking amphibians. Appendix 2, Pp. 277-284 *in* Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity,

Standard Methods for Amphibians (W. R. Heyer, M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek and M. S. Foster eds.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Dunham, A. E., P. J. Morin and H. M. Wilbur. 1988. Methods for the study of reptile populations. Pp. 330-386. *In: Biology of the Reptilia*, Vol 16, C. Gans and R. B. Huey (eds). Alan R. Liss, New York, NY.

Eckert, S. A. and K. L. Eckert. 1986. Harnessing leatherbacks. *Marine Turtle Newsletter* No. 37:1-3.

Esra, G.N., K. Benirschke, and L. A. Griner. 1975. Blood collecting techniques in lizards. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 167:555-556.

Estes, Carol and K. W. Sessions (compilers). 1984a. *Controlled Wildlife*, vol. 1: Federal Permit Procedures. ISBN 0-942924-05-3. 304 pp. Association of Systematics Collections, Museum of Natural History, Univ. Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045.

Estes, Carol and K. W. Sessions (compilers). 1984b. *Controlled Wildlife*, vol. 2: Federally Controlled Species. ISBN 0-942924-06-1. 327 pp. Association of Systematics Collections, Museum of Natural History, Univ. Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045.

Fellers, G. M., C. A. Drost, and W. R. Heyer. 1994. Handling live amphibians. Appendix 1, Pp. 275-276. *in Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Amphibians* (W. R. Heyer, M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek and M. S. Foster eds.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Ferner, J. W. 1979. A Review of Marking Techniques for Amphibians and Reptiles. *Herpetological Circulars* No. 9. 42 pp. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Dept. Zoology (D. Taylor), Miami Univ., Oxford, OH 45056.

Fisher, M. and A. Muth. 1995. A backpack method for mounting radio-transmitters to small lizards. *Herp. Rev.* 26:139-140.

Fleming G. 2001. Crocodilian Anesthesia. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Exotic Animal Practice*. Heard D (ed). Saunders, 4: 119-145.

Fowler, M. E. (editor). 1986. *Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine*. W. B. Saunders Co., Toronto.

Frye, F. L. 1991. *Biomedical and Surgical Aspects of Captive Reptile Husbandry*. Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, FL.

Gandal, C. P. (1958) Cardiac punctures in anesthetized turtles. *Zoologica* 43, 93-94.

- Gans, C. and A. M. Taub. 1964. Precautions for keeping poisonous snakes in captivity. *Curator* 7(3):196-205.
- Germano, D. J. and D. F. Williams. 1993. Field evaluation of using passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags to permanently mark lizards. *Herpetological Review*, 24:54-56.
- Gibbons, J. W. and R. Semlitsch. 1981. Terrestrial drift fences with pitfall traps: an effective technique for quantitative sampling of animal populations. *Brimleyana* No. 7:116.
- Gillingham, J. C., et al. 1983. Venomous snake immobilization: A new technique. *Herp. Review* 14 :40.
- Golay, N. and H. Durrer. 1994. Inflammation due to toe clipping in natterjack toads (*Bufo calamita*). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 15:81-83.
- Graham, T. E. 1986. A warning against the use of Petersen disc tags in turtle studies. *Herp. Review* 17(2):42-43.
- Greenberg, N. 1992. The saurian psyche revisited: Lizards in research. Pp. 75-91 *In* Schaeffer, D. O., K. M. Kleinow and L. Krulisch.(eds.). *The Care and Use of Amphibians, Reptiles and Fish in Research*. Scientists Center for Animal Welfare, Bethesda, MD.
- Greene, H. W. 1996. Nonavian reptiles as laboratory animals. *Institute for Laboratory Animal Research Journal* 37:25-29.
- Guidelines for the Care and Use of Lower Vertebrates. September 17, 1986. 8 pp. Committee for the Protection of Animal Subjects, Univ. California, Berkeley, CA 94720.
- Hardy, D. L. and H. W. Greene. 1999. Surgery on rattlesnakes in the field for implantation of transmitters. *Sonoran Herpetologist* 12: 25-27.
- Heard, D. 2001. Reptile anesthesia. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Exotic Animal Practice*. Heard D (ed). Saunders, 4: 83-117.
- Herman, C. A., F. Caputo, L. Magliola, and R. deRoos. 1978. An Improved Cannulation Technique for Prolonged Blood Sampling of the American Bullfrog. *Lab. An. Sci.* 28(3): 335-338.
- Heyer, W. R., M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek, and M. S. Foster (eds.) 1994. *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Amphibians*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.
- Jackson, O. F. 1981. Clinical aspects of diagnosis and treatment. *In: Diseases of the Reptilia*, Vol 2. Eds. J.E. Cooper and O.F. Jackson. Academic Press, London. pp.

507-534.

Jacobs, J. F. and W. R. Heyer. 1994. Collecting tissue for biochemical analysis. Appendix 5, Pp. 299-301 *in* Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Amphibians (W. R. Heyer, M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek and M. S. Foster eds.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Jacobson, E. R. 1978. Reptile Necropsy. Protocol. *J. Zoo Animal Med.* 9(1):7-13.

Jacobson, E. R. 1984. Immobilization, blood sampling, necropsy techniques and diseases of crocodylians a review. *Journal of Zoo Animal Medicine* 15, 38-45.

Jacobson, E. R. 1987. Reptiles. In: *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice*. Ed. J. Harkness. Saunders, Philadelphia. pp. 1203-1225.

Jacobson, E. R. 1992. Laboratory investigations. In: Beynon, P. H., Lawton, M. P. C., and Cooper, J. E. (eds). *Manual of Reptiles*, British Small Animal Veterinary Association. Cheltenham, England, pp. 50-62.

Jacobson, E. R. 1993. Implications of Infectious Disease for Captive Propagation and Reintroduction Programs of Threatened/Endangered Reptiles. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* 24:245-255.

Jacobson, E. R. 1999. Antimicrobial therapy in reptiles. In: Bayer Proceedings, The North American Veterinary Conference, January 1999. Supplement to Compendium on Continuing Education for the Practicing Veterinarian, 21 (31E), pp. 33-48.

Jacobson, E. R., N. J. Millichamp, and J. M. Gaskin. 1985. Use of a polyvalent autogenous bacterin for treatment of mixed gram-negative bacterial osteomyelitis in a rhinoceros viper. *J.A.V.M.A.* 187:1224-1225.

Jacobson, E. R., J. Schumacher, and M. E. Green, 1992. Techniques for sampling and handling blood for hematologic and plasma biochemical determinations in the desert tortoise, *Xerobates agassizii*. *Copeia*. (1):237-241.

Jaeger, R. G. 1992. Housing, handling and nutrition of salamanders. Pp 25-29 In Schaeffer, D. O., K. M. Kleinow and L. Krulisch.(eds.). *The Care and Use of Amphibians, Reptiles and Fish in Research*. Scientists Center for Animal Welfare, Bethesda, MD.

Jemison, S. C., L. A. Bishop, P. G. May, T. M. Farrell. 1995. The impact of PIT-tags on growth and movement of the rattlesnake, *Sistrurus miliarius*. *J. Herpetol.* 29:129-132.

- Jung, R. E., S. Droege, R. B. Sauer, and R.B. Landy. 2000. Evaluation of terrestrial and streamside salamander monitoring techniques at Shenandoah National Park. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 63: 65-79.
- Kanui, T.I., K. Hole. 1992. Morphine and pethidineantinociception in the crocodile. *J Vet Pharmacol Therap*15:101-103.
- Keck, M. B. 1994. Test for detrimental effects of PIT tags in neonatal snakes. *Copeia* 1994:226-228.
- King, S. T. and R. S. Schrock 1985. *Controlled Wildlife, vol. 3: State Regulations*. ISBN 0-942924-07X. 315 pp. Association of Systematics Collections, Museum of Natural History, Univ. Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045.
- Klingenberg, R. J. 1966. Therapeutics. In D. R. Mader, *Reptile Medicine and Surgery*. WD Saunders Co., Philadelphia, pp. 299-321.
- LaPointe, J. and E. R. Jacobson. 1974. Hyperglycemic Effect of Neurohypophyseal Hormones in the Lizard, *Klaluberina riversiana*. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 22:135-136.
- Levell, J. P. 1997. *A field guide to reptiles and the law*. Second edition, Serpent's Tale Natural History Books. Lancaster, MN 270 pp.
- Liang, Y-F, S. I. Terashima. 1993. Physiological properties and morphologic characteristic of cutaneous and mucosal mechanical nociceptive neurons with A-d peripheral axons in the trigeminal ganglia of crotaline snakes. *J Comp Neuro* 328:88-102.
- Lillywhite, H. B., and A. W. Smits. 1984. Lability of blood volume in snakes and its relation to activity and hypertension. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 110, 267-274.
- Lillywhite, H. B., R. A. Ackerman, and L. Palacios. 1983. Cardiorespiratory responses of snakes to experimental hemmorage. *Journal of Comparative Physiology* 152, 59-65.
- Lock, B. A. 2000. Reproductive surgery in reptiles. In *The Veterinary Clinics of North America, Exotic Animal Practice*. Soft-Tissue Surgery. Bennett RA (ed). WB Saunders Co., Philadelphia. pp733-752.
- Machin, K. L. 2001. Fish, amphibian, and reptile analgesia. In *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Exotic Animal Practice*. Heard, D. (ed). Saunders, 4: 19-33.
- Malaro, M. C. 1998. *A legal primer on managing museum collections*. Second Edition. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Marcus, L. C. 1981. *Veterinary Biology and Medicine of Captive Amphibians and Reptiles*. Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia.

Maxwell, J. H. 1979. Anesthesia and surgery. In: *Turtles: Perspectives and Research*. Eds. M. Harless and H. Morlock. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. pp. 127-152.

McDiarmid, R. W. 1994. Preparing amphibians as scientific specimens. Appendix 4, Pp. 289-297 in *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Amphibians* (W. R. Heyer, M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek and M. S. Foster eds.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

McDonald, H. S. 1976. Methods for the physiological study of reptiles. In: *Biology of the Reptilia*. Eds. C. Gans and W. R. Dawson, Vol. 5, Physiology A. Academic Press, New York. pp. 19-125.

McKinstry, D. M. 1983. Morphologic evidence of toxic saliva in colubrid snakes: a checklist of world genera. *Herp. Review* 14(1):12-15.

Murphy, J. B. and B. L. Armstrong. 1978. Maintenance of rattlesnakes in captivity. *Univ. Kans. Mus. Nat. Hist. Spec. Pub.* 3:1-40.

Nace, G. W., et al. 1974. *Amphibians: Guidelines for the breeding, care and management of laboratory animals*. I.L.A.R. (NAS/NRC)> ISBN 0-309-00210-X. 150 pp. National Academy of Sciences, 2101 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 29418.

Nagy, K., and P. A. Medica. 1986. Physiological ecology of desert tortoises in southern Nevada. *Herpetologica* 42:73-92.

National Institutes of Health Guide for Grants and Contracts. Special Edition: Laboratory Animal Welfare. 14(3):1-30, June 25, 1985. Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

ibid. Supplement. 14(8):1-82, June 25, 1985.

Nauwelaerts, S., J. Coeck, and P. Aerts. 2000. Visible implant elastomers as a method for marking adult anurans. *Histological Review* 31(3):154-155.

Nichols, D. K. 2001. Necropsy. In *Amphibian Medicine and Captive Husbandry*. Wright, K. M. and B. R. Whitaker (eds). Krieger Publ. Co., Malabar, Florida, pp.331-334.

Nishikawa, K. C. and P. M. Service. 1988. A fluorescent marking technique for individual recognition of terrestrial salamanders. *J. Herpetology* 22: 351-353.

Olson, G. A., J. R. Hessler, and R. E. Faith. 1975. Techniques for blood collection and

intravascular infusions of reptiles. *Laboratory Animal Science* 25:783-786.

Ott, J. A. and D. E. Scott. 1999. Effects of toe-clipping and PIT-tagging on growth and survival in metamorphic *Ambystoma opacum*. *J. Herpetol.* 33:344-348.

Ottaviani, G., and A. Tazzi. 1977. The lymphatic system. *In: Biology of the Reptilia*. Eds. C. Gans and T. S. Parsons, Vol. 6. Morphology E. Academic Press, New York. pp. 315-462.

Parker, J. L. and H. R. Adams. 1978. The influence of chemical restraining agents on cardiovascular function: A review. *Lab. Anim. Sci.* 28:575.

Pough, F. H. 1992. Recommendations for the care and use of amphibians and reptiles in academic institutions. National Academy Press, Washington DC.

Powers, D. L. 1985. Preparation of the surgical patient. In D. H. Slater (ed). *Textbook of Small Animal Surgery*. Philadelphia, WB Saunders, pp.279-284.

Pritchard, P. C. H., et al. 1982. Sea turtle manual of research and conservation techniques. 95 pp. Western Atlantic Turtle Symposium, San Jose, Costa Rica.

Quinn, H. and J. P. Jones. 1974. Squeeze box technique for measuring snakes. *Herpetol. Rev.* 5:35.

Raney, E. C. and E. A. Lachner. 1947. Studies on the growth of tagged toads (*Bufo terrestris americanus* Holbrook). *Copeia* (2):113-116.

Reaser, J. 1995. Marking amphibians by toe-clipping; a response to Halliday. *FROGLOG*, March 12:1-2.

Reinert, H. K. and D. Cundall. 1982. An improved surgical implantation method for radio-tracking snakes. *Copeia* 1982:702-705.

Reynolds, R. P., R. I. Crombie, and R. W. McDiarmid. 1994. Voucher Specimens. Pp. 66-73 *in* Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Amphibians (W. R. Heyer, M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek and M. S. Foster eds.). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Roskopf, W. J. 1982. Normal hemogram and blood chemistry values for California desert tortoises. *Veterinary Medicine/Small Animal Clinician* 77, 85-87.

Samour, H. J., D. Risley, T. March, B. Savage, O. Nieva, and D. M. Jones. 1984. Blood sampling techniques in reptiles. *Veterinary Record* 114, 472-478.



Schaeffer, D. O., K. M. Kleinow and L. Krulisch. (eds.) 1992. The Care and Use of Amphibians, Reptiles and Fish in Research. Scientists Center for Animal Welfare, Bethesda, MD.

Schlaepfer, M. A. 1998. Use of fluorescent marking technique on small terrestrial anurans. *Herp. Review* 29: 25-26.

Simmons, J. E. 2002. Herpetological Collecting and Collections Management. Revised Edition. Herpetological Circulars #31, Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles 153 p.

Smith, A. W., et al. 1986. Report of the AVMA Panel on Euthanasia. *Journal AVMA* 188(13):252-268.

Smits, A. W., and M. M. Kozubowski. 1985. Partitioning of body fluids and cardiovascular responses to circulatory hypovolemia in the turtle *Pseudemys scripta elegans*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 116, 237-250.

Spray, D. C. 1976. Pain and temperature receptors of anurans. *In Frog Neurobiology*. R. Linas, and W. Precht (eds), Springer Verlag, Berlin.

Stephens, G. A., and J. S. Creekmore. 1983. Blood collection by cardiac puncture in conscious turtles. *Copeia*. 1983, 522-523.

Stevens, C. W. 1988. Opioid antinociception in amphibians. *Brain Res Bull* 21:959-962.

Stevens, C. W. 1992. Alternatives for the use of mammals for pain research. *Life Sci* 50:901-912.

Taylor, R. W. and E. R. Jacobson. 1981. Hematology and serum chemistry of the Gopher Tortoise, *Gopherus polyphemus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 72A, 425-428.

Ten Donkelaar, H. J., R. de Boer-van Huizen. 1987. A possible pain control system in a non-mammalian vertebrate ( a lizard, *Gekko gecko*). *Neurosci Lett* 83:65-70.

Thomas, R. A. 1977. Selected bibliography of certain vertebrate techniques. USDI/BLM Tech. Note (306):1-88.33.

Tompkins, W. G. (ed) 1998. Ethical and legal issues: Fish and wildlife. Pp. 321-339. *In* The new museum registration methods. R. A. Buck and J. A. Gilmore (eds). American Association of Museums, Washington, DC.

Wallach, J. D. and W. J. Boever. 1983. Diseases of Exotic Animals: Medical and Surgical Management. 1159 pp. W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia.

- Wang, J. P. and S. C. Adolph. 1995. Thermoregulatory consequences of transmitter implant surgery in the lizard *Sceloporus occidentalis*. *J Herp* 29:489-493.
- Weatherhead, P. J. and F. W. Anderka. 1984. An improved radio transmitter and implantation technique for snakes. *J. Herpetol.* 1984; 18: 264-269.
- Woodford, M. H. 2001. Quarantine and health screening protocols for wildlife prior to translocation and release in to the wild. Office International des Epizooties, Paris, France.
- Wright, K. M. 2000. Soft-Tissue Surgery. In *The Veterinary Clinics of North America, Exotic Animal Practice*. R. A. Bennett (ed). WB Saunders Co., Philadelphia. 2000, pp.753-758.
- Wright, K. M. 2001a. Restraint techniques and euthanasia. In *Amphibian Medicine and Captive Husbandry*. K. M. Wright and B. R. Whitaker(eds). Krieger Publ. Co., Malabar, Florida, 2001, 111-122.
- Wright, K. M. 2001b. Amphibian hematology . In *Amphibian Medicine and Captive Husbandry*. K. M. Wright and B. R. Whitaker (eds). Krieger Publ. Co., Malabar, Florida, 129-146.
- Wright, K. M. 2001c. Surgical techniques. In *Amphibian Medicine and Captive Husbandry*. K. M. Wright and B. R. Whitaker (eds). Krieger Publ. Co., Malabar, Florida, Pp. 273-283.
- Wright, K. M. and B. R. Whitaker. 2001a. Pharmacokinetics. *In Amphibian Medicine and Captive Husbandry*. K. M. Wright and B. R. Whitaker (eds). Krieger Publ. Co., Malabar, Florida, pp.310-330.
- Wright, K. M. and B. R. Whitaker (eds.) 2001b. *Amphibian Medicine and Captive Husbandry*. K. M. Wright and B. R. Whitaker (eds). Krieger Publ. Co., Malabar, Florida.
- Young, E. (editor). 1975. *The Capture and Care of Wild Animals*. Ralph Curtis Books. P.O. Box 183, Sanibel, FL 33957.
- Zimmerman, E. 1986. *Breeding Terrarium Animals*. T.F.H. Publications, Inc. Ltd., Neptune City, New Jersey.

## **X. Appendix A: Additional Resources**

Canadian Journal of Zoology. National Research Council of Canada, NRC Research Press, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R6, Canada. [http://pubs.nrc-cnrc.gc.ca/cgi-bin/rp/rp2\\_desc\\_e?cjz](http://pubs.nrc-cnrc.gc.ca/cgi-bin/rp/rp2_desc_e?cjz)

Canadian Veterinary Journal. 339 Booth St., Ottawa, Ontario K1R 7K1, Canada.

<http://www.cvma-acmv.org/vetjournals/cvj/index.html>

Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) Home page:

<http://www.wcmc.org.uk/CITES/english/index.html> CITES Listed Species:

<http://www.wcmc.org.uk/CITES/english/fauna.htm>

Copeia, American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists. Maureen A. Donnelly. Florida International University, Miami, FL <http://www.asih.org>

Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals, vols. 1, Second ed. 1993 (120 pp.) and 2 1984 (208 pp.). Canadian Council on Animal Care, 1105-151 Slater, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5H3, Canada. <http://www.ccac.ca/english/publicat/pubframe.htm>

Guidelines and Procedures for Radioisotope Licensing. U. S. Atomic Energy Commissions, Isotopes Branch--Division of Materials Licensing, Washington, DC 20545.

Herpetologica. The Herpetologists League, Mac F. Given, Department of Biology, Neumann College, One Neumann Dr. Aston, PA 19014-1298. <http://www.inhs.uiuc.edu/cbd/HL/HL.html>

Herpetological Review, and Journal of Herpetology. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles. Marion Preest, Joint Science Department, The Claremont Colleges, Claremont, CA. 91711. <http://www.ssarherps.org>

International Species Inventory. Minnesota Zoological Garden. Minneapolis Zoo, Minneapolis, MN.

Journal of the American Veterinary Medicine Association. 1931 N. Meacham Rd., Suite 100. Schaumburg, IL 60173-4360.

Journal of Wildlife Diseases, Wildlife Diseases Association. P.O. Box 1897, Lawrence, KS 66044-8897.

National Park Service Research and Collection Permits: <http://science.nature.nps.gov/research>

United States Fish and Wildlife Service Home Page: <http://fws.gov>

Fish and Wildlife Service Permits Page: <http://permits.fws.gov>

Veterinary Anesthesia, 2nd Edition. 1984. W. V. Lumb and E. W. Jones. 693 pp. Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, PA.